#### Use of External Magnetic Fields in Hohlraum Plasmas to Improve Laser-Coupling



### Use of External Magnetic Fields in Hohlraum Plasmas to Improve Laser-Coupling

- Increased underdense plasma temperatures are desirable for NIF ignition hohlraums
  - improve laser propagation through long-scale-length low-Z plasma (less inverse bremsstrahlung absorption)
  - possibly mitigate LPI with higher  $T_e$  (higher  $k\lambda_D$ , more Landau damping)
- Magnetic insulation can increase the plasma temperature with B<sub>z</sub> ≥ 10-T in gas-filled hohlraums
- Omega experiments using gas-filled hohlraums demonstrate an increased plasma temperature with B<sub>z</sub> = 7.5-T
  - plasma conditions measured with  $4\omega$  Thomson scattering
- 2-D HYDRA simulations are in good agreement with experimental results



## Adequate coupling of the laser is required for indirect drive ignition



- laser-hohlraum coupling affects:
  - radiation drive (implosion velocity)
  - radiation symmetry
  - preheat
- $\bullet$  lower than expected  $T_{\rm e}$  is inferred [1] in the underdense plasma for NIF ignition hohlraums:
  - significant collisional absorption in cooler, low-Z plasma (symmetry)
  - substantial SRS on inner beams (drive, symmetry, preheat, ...)

Higher coronal plasma temperatures can improve laser-plasma coupling in hohlraum targets

UNCLASSIFIED

1. M.D. Rosen *et al., HEDP* **7**, 180 (2011)



## SRS reflectivity decreases with increasing $k\lambda_D$ (increasing electron temperature $T_e$ )

 The daughter EPW saturates when the trapped e- bounce frequency is comparable to the side loss rate for a trapped electron

$$\frac{!_{b}}{!_{pe}} = k''_{D} \sqrt{\frac{e^{\#}}{T_{e}}} \sim \frac{!_{sl}}{!_{pe}}$$

•  $E_{SRS} \sim fE_{laser}$ ;  $R_{SRS} = (E_{SRS}/E_{laser})^2$ , so

$$R_{SRS} \sim \frac{1}{(k!_{D})^{4}}$$
 (or  $R_{SRS} \sim T_{e}^{-2}$ ) "

 This simple scaling<sup>1</sup> agrees well with both single- and multi-speckle VPIC simulations over a range of conditions



<sup>1</sup> Yin, Albright, Rose et al. Phys. Plasmas 19, 056304 (2012) !



### Magnetic insulation can lead to increased hohlraum plasma temperatures



adapted from "Physics of Laser Fusion, Vol. 1", C.E. Max, UCRL-53107 (1982)



Braginskii heat flux<sup>†</sup>  $Q_B \approx -k_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} T_e - k_{\wedge} \nabla_{\wedge} T_e$   $k_{\parallel} \approx g_0 \frac{n_e T_e t_{ei}}{m_e}$  $k_{\wedge} \approx g'_1 \frac{n_e T_e t_{ei}}{m_e W_{\perp}^2 t_{\perp}^2}$ 

"insulation" occurs when:

$$\frac{k_{\scriptscriptstyle \wedge}}{k_{\scriptscriptstyle \parallel}} \approx \overset{\text{a}}{\underset{\rm e}{\scriptscriptstyle \circ}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}_{ce} t_{_{ei}} \overset{\rm ö^2}{\vartheta}} << 1$$

† ignoring cross-terms

#### Scaling from previous experiments suggests B<sub>z</sub> ~ 10-T may increase T<sub>e</sub> in gas-filled hohlraums



\* Froula et al., PRL (2007)

	gasjet parameters	NIF parameters
T <sub>e</sub>	250 eV	2 – 2.5 keV
n <sub>e</sub>	1.5e19 e/cm <sup>3</sup>	1e21 e/cm <sup>3</sup>
Ζ	~ 5 (N <sub>2</sub> )	2 – 3.5 (He or CH)
Bz	10 T	10-12 T
$\frac{1}{\omega_{ce}^2  au_{ei}^2}$	$\frac{k_{\text{A}}}{k_{\text{H}}} \gg \frac{1}{15}$	$\frac{\kappa_{\perp}}{\kappa_{\parallel}} \approx \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{15}$

We expect a temperature increase for magnetized NIF hohlraums



### Experiments are performed at Omega using gas-filled hohlraums and an external B-field



- 19-kJ of  $3\omega$  in 1-ns pulse (39 beams, 3 cones), gas-fill 0.95-atm 25% C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>12</sub> + 75% CH<sub>4</sub>
- plasma conditions measured using  $4\omega$  Thomson scattering, delayed 0.3-ns
- external B<sub>z</sub> applied using MIFEDS coil in a 400-ns pulse<sup>†</sup>

† Gotchev et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 80, 043504 (2009).

lamos

### Time-dependent plasma temperatures are measured using 4ω Thomson scattering



#### A substantial increase in plasma temperature is observed with external B-field



EST.1943

9

#### Material regions and log(density) contour plots from 2-D HYDRA simulations - movie



FST 1943

#### 2-D HYDRA simulations show an increase in plasma temperature with external $B_7 = 7.5$ -T



EST.1943

#### 2-D HYDRA modeling is in good agreement with measured plasma temperatures<sup>†</sup>



EST.1943

## What is the limit for an empty hohlraum with very large $B_z$ ?? (conduction only)



#### **Assume straight field lines**

B<sub>z</sub> very large:  $k_{\wedge}/k_{\parallel} \gg 0$ , but parallel losses remain For unmagnetized:

 $Q_{\parallel} \gg rac{1}{4} Q_{\wedge}$  since the ratio of areas  $(A_{\wedge} + A_{\parallel})/A_{\parallel} \gg 4$ 

Unmagnetized heat flux:  $Q \sim T_e^{7/2}$ 

Maximum increase:  $4^{2/7} \approx 1.48$ 

2-D HYDRA:  $B_z = 0$   $T_{max} \sim 3.7 \text{ keV}$   $B_z = 7.5\text{-T}$   $T_{max} \sim 4.65 \text{ keV}$  $B_z = 60\text{-T}$   $T_{max} \sim 4.82 \text{ keV}$ 

### What about a hohlraum with a capsule? (cartoon not a simulation)



EST.1943

### **Summary and Conclusions**

- Increased underdense plasma temperatures are desirable for NIF ignition hohlraums
  - improve laser propagation through long-scale-length low-Z plasma (less inverse bremsstrahlung absorption)
  - possibly mitigate LPI with higher  $T_e$  (higher  $k\lambda_D$ , more Landau damping)
- Magnetic insulation can increase the plasma temperature with B<sub>z</sub> ≥ 10-T in gas-filled hohlraums
- Omega experiments using gas-filled hohlraums demonstrate an increased plasma temperature with B<sub>z</sub> = 7.5-T
  - plasma conditions measured with  $4\omega$  Thomson scattering
- 2-D HYDRA simulations are in good agreement with experimental results



# BACKUPS



### 2-D HYDRA simulations show an increase in plasma temperature with external $B_z = 7.5$ -T



**FST 1943** 

### Material regions and log(density) contour plots from 2-D HYDRA simulations at t=0.9-ns



FST 1943

- Material regions Au (red) CH gas (green)
- Log(density)
- Plots very similar with and without B<sub>z</sub>

 (i.e. β >> 1, B-field affects thermal conduction but not hydro)

### Finite diffusion time for magnetic field into the Au cylindrical hohlraum due to eddy currents



$$\frac{dB_i}{dt} + \frac{B_i}{\tau_m} = \frac{B_0}{\tau_m}$$

$$\tau_m = \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 \sigma \Delta a$$

$$B_i = B_0 \left( 1 - e^{-t/\tau_m} \right)$$

Omega Hohlraum a = 0.8 mm  $\Delta$  = 5-µm  $\sigma_{Au}$  = 4e7 (ohm-m)<sup>-1</sup>  $\tau_m \sim$  100-ns NIF Hohlraum a = 2.5 mm ∆ = 25-µm ਨ. = 4e7 (ohm-m)<sup>-1</sup>

B, turned on instantly at t=0

Thin conducting shell of radius *a*, thickness  $\Delta$ , conductivity  $\sigma$ 

 $\sigma_{Au}$  is for 99.9% pure Au at room temperature, consider adding impurities, e.g. 0.5% at. Ti + 99.5% Au decreases  $\sigma$  to 1e7 (ohm-m)<sup>-1</sup>, then  $\tau_m \sim$  400-ns.