MagNIF Conceptual Design and Coil Testing

Meeting on Magnetic Fields in Laser Plasmas

Laboratory for Laser Energetics, University of Rochester

Evan Grant Carroll, SME

April 24, 2018



This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC



Outline

- MagNIF Lab Pulser
 - Requirements, main components, system diagram and basic electrical schematic
- Pulser Components
 - Energy storage, switch, transmission line and load
- Experimental Results
 - Numerical simulations, PSPICE, magnetic probes, high-speed imaging, two-color pyrometer and debris catcher
- Proposed Design
 - Conceptual CAD, Controls
- Pulse Power Future Plan



Gas pipe disassembly imaged with 10 MHz video camera







Pulser Specific Requirements

- Electrical
 - Max voltage 40 kV, short circuit current of 50 kA, pulse widths ~ μs
 - Max inductance ~ 750 nH
- Vacuum Integrity
 - Must pass NIF vacuum cleanliness standards
- Volume
 - Constrained to an airbox that must fit within TANDM payload adapter (or slightly modified)
- Input power
 - +28 VDC

P0000000.ppt - Author - Event - Month 00, 2015

- Radiation resistance
 - Needs to withstand NIF harsh radiation environment





MagNIF Lab Pulser¹



¹Original design by M. Rhodes, F. Allen and S. Hawkins

Offline lab pulser allows significant development and understanding of the dynamics of exploding solenoids.

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
P0000000.ppt – Author – Event – Month 00, 2015



Lab Pulser System Diagram



Figure 2 – System diagram of MagNIF lab pulser.





MagNIF lab pulser simplified electrical schematic



MagNIF lab pulser reduces to four main components: energy storage, switch, transmission line and load.



Energy Storage and Switch: MagNIF lab pulser uses a high voltage capacitor paired with a commercial spark gap for reliable and economical switching

- 4 μF self-healing metallized polypropylene oil filled capacitor
 - max voltage 40 kV
 - peak current 70 kA
 - max stored energy 3.2 kJ, stored charge 0.16 Coulomb
 - ESR < 15 m Ω , ESL 52 nH
- Trigatron spark gap
 - working voltage 20-50 kV (N₂)
 - peak pulsed current 100 kA
 - inductance < 35 nH</p>
 - breakdown delay and jitter of < 0.5 μ s and < 0.2 μ s respectively ($V_g = 0.8V_{sb}$)
 - Max charge transfer 0.5 Coulomb



Pulser delay and jitter is dominated by spark-gap breakdown





Pressure (absolute), bar Figure 9 – Breakdown curves for SG-121 spark-gap.

2.0

Vop

Figure 10 – Jitter is approximately +-50 ns for 30 kV, 3 psig (Nitrogen).

Note: operating at 6 psig (67% V_{sb}) t_i ~120 ns, however will drastically reduce chance of pre-fire.

Max. operating pre

2.5

Vmin

3.0

Static N₂ gas fill

1.5

60

50

40

30

20

10

1.0

Voltage, kV



Electrical triggering provides cost effective and reliable switching

Electrical trigger system block diagram



Figure 10 – Trigger system diagram.

- Concerns
 - Neutron and X-ray radiation resistance of electronics
- Possible alternative
 - Laser triggered spark-gap





Radiation Damage Component Testing

- Largest concern is integrated electronics and discrete components at voltage such as capacitors, FETs and IGBTs.
 - Capacitors will most likely degrade over time.
 - IGBTs and FETs are susceptible to catastrophic failure from prompt dose.
- Plan 1: Test current hardware selection under normal operation in a similar radiation environment.
 - Turn off radiation susceptible components before the shot?
 - Relocate components within the airbox?
- Plan 2: Relocate high voltage power supply to rack. Laser triggered spark gap.



Transmission line: Kapton strip-line provides very low inductance, high voltage standoff and vacuum compatibility.



Figure 4 – CAD model and prototype strip-line potted in a vacuum flange.



Load: During testing, simple solenoids are wound on ABS and PEEK mandrels and terminated with ring lugs.

- Gas Pipe Coil
 - 14 turn
 - 1 mm pitch
 - 9 mm diameter
 - 26 AWG Kapton coated silver-plated solid-core

copper wire

- 52 m Ω (300 K) 448 m Ω (1350 K)¹
- ~ 800-900 nH
- Warm Hohlraum Coil (less defined)
 - 5.5-6.5 turn
 - 0.7 mm pitch
 - 6 mm diameter
 - 24 AWG Kapton coated silver-plated solid-core copper wire
 - 14 m Ω (300 K) 90 m Ω (1350 K)¹
 - ~ 200 nH



Figure 6 – CAD model of typical gas pipe and Hohlraum coils used for testing.

¹Matula Ra, Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data 1979





PSPICE Model¹ and action dependent coil resistivity accurately predicts pulser performance



 $I(E1)^*IF(SDT(I(E1)^{**2})/\{area\}^{**2}-8e10, (\{length\}/\{area\})^*\{eta\}^*EXP(\{eta\}^*\{alpha\}/\{VSH\}/(\{area\}^{**2})^*SDT(I(E1)^{**2})), 1000)$



Figure 7 – PSPICE model of the MagNIF lab pulser.



Figure 8 – Comparison of PSPICE model with experimental gas pipe 28 kV data.

¹PSPICE simulation and plot courtesy of Glen James





Typical magnetic pick-up probe measurements for gas pipe and Hohlraum style coils



Figure 11 – Typical magnetic measurements of gas pipe and Hohlraum style coils.

$$B(t,z) = \frac{I(t)\mu_0 N}{2l} \left(\frac{z + \frac{l}{2}}{\sqrt{r^2 + \left(z + \frac{l}{2}\right)^2}} - \frac{z - \frac{l}{2}}{\sqrt{r^2 + \left(z - \frac{l}{2}\right)^2}} \right)$$



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory P0000000.ppt – Author – Event – Month 00, 2015

Numerical Studies¹ of 5.5 Turn Hohlraum Coil



Figure 12 – On axis magnetic field strength of 5.5 turn Hohlraum coil with varying currents.

Figure 13 – Spatial profile of magnetic field for 5.5 turn Hohlraum coil at 40 kA.

¹Simulation plots courtesy of Charles Brown





Electrostatic Simulations¹ of Pulser Hardware Allow for Optimization



Figure 12 – Electrostatic field simulation of pulser hardware. Simulation voltage is 1 V, with a maximum electric field strength of 43.3 V/m, which corresponds to 1.7 MV/m when scaled to 40 kV. The dielectric strength of air at 1 atmosphere is 3 MV/m.

¹Simulation plots courtesy of Charles Brown



Multi-channel magnetic probes have been developed using PCB inductor chips with spatial resolution of ~ 2 mm.



Figure 14 – CAD model of gas pipe coil and 4 channel B-dot probe.



Figure 15 – simplified probe circuit with RC integrator.



Figure 16 – Calibrated probe signal to Helmholtz current used for calibration.

$$V_{induced} = \frac{Nd\Phi}{dt}, \qquad V_2 = \frac{1}{RC} \int V_1(t)dt$$
$$\therefore V_{measured} = \frac{NAcos(\theta)}{RC}B$$



To help quantify coil melting, debris is captured in Aerogel pucks and analyzed under a microscope



Figure 17 – Microscope image of debris captured in Aerogel puck.

 Python script adjusts contrast, converts RGB information into scalar intensity maps, finds "blobs" and measures their relative size



Figure 18 – Python script is used for further processing and tracking blobs.



2D temperature maps are estimated using two-color pyrometry at 10 MHz

 Two-color pyrometer is used to measure the temperature of the coils to ensure adequate melting just after the peak of the current pulse





Figure 19 – Two-color pyrometer captures the same image at two wavelengths at 10 MHz.

Figure 20 – Exploding wire short example. Temperature units not calibrated



Conceptual Design





Three high-voltage vacuum connections are required to connect the pulser to the target





Strip-line to wire connection: ring lugs are a simple connection that have proven reliable in lab testing.







Experimental Summary

- Achieved 30 Tesla in gas pipe coil targets
- Achieved 35 Tesla in Hohlraum coil targets
 - Limited by pulser voltage and inductance in lab
- Developed a full suite of diagnostics for continuing coil development
- Integrated pulser into DIM compatible volume





Pulse Power Plan Forward

- Current Design Status
 - Conceptual design that fits inside of an airbox in TANDM with tested components that should be compatible with the NIF facility
- Current Experimental Status
 - 50 kA, 40 kV strip-lines in development
 - Need to test strip-line performance in vacuum
 - When new high-speed camera arrives, can finish pyrometer diagnostic and resume coil testing
 - Testing connection methods for rigid and flexible strip-lines to pulser and coil loads.
- Risks
 - Strip-line vacuum integrity
 - Radiation resistance for electronics
 - Connections from pulser to coil load
 - High voltage standoff in pulser airbox



Backup Slides





Sample Slide

- Warm B-field requirements review on 12/18/17
- Warm B-field FMEA review on TBD
- Warm B-field pulsed power CDR on 2/14/18
 - Focused session on electrical design concept
 - Reviewed by SMEs from LLNL, LLE, SNL, Univ. of Michigan

Summary box has a full-width bleed. Delete if not needed.





Radiation Resistance of Kapton Film

Property	Control 1 mil Film	10° Gy 1 h	10 ⁵ Gy 10 h	10 ⁶ Gy 4 d	10 ⁷ Gy 42 d
Tensile Strength, MPa (psi ×103)	207 (30)	207 (30)	214 (31)	214 (31)	152 (22)
Elongation, %	80	78	78	79	42
Tensile Modulus, MPa (psi ×103)	3172 (460)	3275 (475)	3378 (490)	3275 (475)	2903 (421)
Volume Resistivity Ω -cm ×10 ¹³ at 2°C (392°F)	4.8	6.6	5.2	1.7	1.6
Dielectric Constant 1 kHz at 2°C (73°F)	3.46	3.54	3.63	3.71	3.50
Dissipation Factor 1 kHz at 23°C (73°F)	0.0020	0.0023	0.0024	0.0037	0.0029
Dielectric Strength V/µm (kV/mm)	256	223	218	221	254

Table 12. Effect of Gamma Radiation Exposure on Kapton® Polyimide Film (Cobalt 60 Source, Oak Ridge)

Table 13. Effect of Electron Exposure on Kapton® Polyimide

Film Mixed Neutron and Gamma

	5 × 10 ⁷ Gy	10 ⁸ Gy
5 × 10 ¹² neutrons/cm/s Flux at 175°C (347°F)	Film Darkened	Film Darkened and Tough

Table 14. Effect of Ultraviolet Exposure on Kapton® Polyimide Film*

	1000 h Exposure
Tensile Strength, % of Initial Value Retained	100
Elongation, % of Initial Value Retained	74

*Vacuum environment, 2×10^{-6} mmHg at 50°C (122°F). UV intensity equal to space sunlight to 2500A.

Summary box has a full-width bleed. Delete if not needed.



Inductance Calculations

Gas pipe solenoid (single layer coil approximation)¹

$$L = \frac{0.004\pi^2 a^2 N^2 K}{b} = 1.05 \ \mu H$$

Where, a = mean radius, b = length, N = number of turns, K = tabulated endeffect correction factor

Hohlraum Solenoid

$$L = \frac{0.004\pi^2 a^2 N^2 K}{b} = 0.191\mu H$$

¹Grover F., Inductance Calculations 1973

Summary box has a full-width bleed. Delete if not needed.

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory P0000000.ppt – Author – Event – Month 00, 2015



Transmission Line Impedances¹

Transmission Lin	e Capacitance and	Inductance per Len	gth	
Constants				
μ _o	1.25664E-06	[H/m]		
μ,	1	[H/m]		
ε	8.85419E-12	[F/m]		
εŗ	2	[F/m]		
π	3.141592654			
Coaxial Line				
а	0.01	[m]		
b	0.1	[m]		
С	4.83218E-11	[F]		
L	4.60517E-07	[H]		
Z ₀	97.69041201	[Ω]		
2 Parallel Open Wire	Line			
a	0.01	[m]		
b	0.1	[m]		
C	1.85706E-11	[F]		
L	1.19829E-06	[H]		
Z ₀	254.1963126	[Ω]		
Parallel Plate Line	0.4			
W	0.1	[m]		
S	0.01	[m]		
C	1.77084E-10	[F]		
L 7	1.25664E-07	[H] [0]		
Z ₀	26.65792565	[Ω]		
Single Wire Above C	onducting Plate			
a	0.01	[m]		
h	0.1	[m]		
С	3.01623E-11	(F)		
L	7.37776E-07	[H]		
Z ₀	156.5059006	[Ω]		

¹Smith F., Pulse Electronics

Summary box has a full-width bleed. Delete if not needed.

