Shock-Release Experiments on OMEGA EP

X-ray backlighter DRACO 2 ns 4ω 10²³ | Electron density (cm⁻³) EP beam 1 Laser 10²¹ Scale length EP beam 2 10¹⁹ -4000 X-ray streak camera Distance (μ m) 4ω diagnostics

A. Shvydky University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics 62nd Annual Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics 9–13 November 2020



Summary

Radiation transport strongly affects the expansion of the rarefaction wave formed by the shock released from the laser-driven CH foil

- Experiments using VISAR* showed early expansion (before the shock release) of the back surface of the CH foil
- The buried Au layer reduced the extent and scale length of the density profile in the rarefaction wave, consistent with radiation preheat hypothesis
- The Al layer on the laser side of the target did not affect the release, which ruled out the laser shinethrough as the early expansion mechanism



Collaborators

D. Haberberger, J. P. Knauer, S. X. Hu, S. T. Ivancic, J. Carroll-Nellenback, D. Cao, I. V. Igumenshchev, V. V. Karasiev, P. B. Radha, A. V. Maximov, S. P. Regan, T. C. Sangster, R. Boni, P. M. Nilson, V. N. Goncharov, and D. H. Froula University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics

> M. D. Rosen and V. A. Smalyuk Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



The shock-release experiments used a 4ω probe to measure the low-density plasma profile and side-on x-ray radiography to measure the shell trajectory





The experimentally measured extent and scale length of the electron density profiles in the rarefaction wave are significantly longer than those predicted by standard radiation-hydrodynamics simulations



The reasons for the difference

- The way we infer the electron density using a textbook plasma index of refraction is wrong
- Some physics is missing from or incorrect in the simulations

TC14644b



Using a more-accurate index of refraction from *ab-initio* calculations^{*} does not significantly change the electron density profiles obtained from 4ω probe images



* A. Shvydky, A. V. Maximov, V. V. Karasiev, D. Haberberger, and V. N. Goncharov, "Ionization State and Dielectric Permittivity in Cold Rarefied CH Plasmas of Inertial Confinement Fusion," to be submitted to Physical Review E.



The density profile on the back side of the shell before the shock breakout strongly affects the rarefaction wave expansion



TC15202a

D. Haberberger et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 235001 (2019).



VISAR shows movement of the back side of the CH foil before the shock breakout



Coronal x-ray preheat or laser shinethrough?



The latest experiments were aimed at testing x-ray preheat as well as shinethrough as the culprit for pre-expansion of the rear side of the target



TC15602



The buried Au layer significantly affects the position of the rarefaction wave



TC14644d



The early expansion and therefore the release strongly depend on the radiation transport models used in the simulations



W. F. Huebner et al., Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, Report LA-6760-M (1977);

* AOT: astrophysical opacity tables

R. Epstein et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 43, 1666 (1998);

** CRE: collisional radiative equilibrium





Summary/Conclusions

Radiation transport strongly affects the expansion of the rarefaction wave formed by the shock released from the laser-driven CH foil



- Experiments using VISAR* showed early expansion (before the shock release) of the back surface of the CH foil
- The buried Au layer reduced the extent and scale length of the density profile in the rarefaction wave, consistent with radiation preheat hypothesis
- The Al layer on the laser side of the target did not affect the release, which ruled out the laser shinethrough as the early expansion mechanism

