Motivation

- Spectra from non-local-thermodynamic-equilibrium (non-LTE) plasmas of open-shell configurations are necessary to benchmark and discriminate between conflicting atomic models
- Recent buried-layer experiments constrain the evolution of temperature $T_{\rm e}$ and ion density *n*_i, and record Ge L-shell spectra
- While atomic kinetics models show good agreement with spectra recorded at higher density, they are unable to match data recorded at lower densities

Planar targets produce uniform, uniaxially expanding plasma and a quasi steady-state temperature [1]



- Sc K-shell, Ge L-shell spectra collected by elliptical crystal spectrometers (MSPEC) [2] and coupled to four-frame x-ray framing cameras (XRFC's)
- Diagnostics are timed coincident with isothermal expansion predicted by 1-D hydrodynamic simulations (right)







Open L-Shell Spectroscopy of Non-Local-Thermodynamic-Equilibrium Plasmas

D. T. BISHEL,¹ E. V. MARLEY,² M. B. SCHNEIDER,² D. A. LIEDAHL,² R. F. HEETER,² M. E. FOORD,² G. E. KEMP,² Y. FRANK,² J. A. EMIG,² G. PEREZ-CALLEJO,³ J. R. RYGG,¹ G. W. COLLINS,¹ and P. M. NILSON¹

¹University of Rochester, Laboratory for Laser Energetics; ²Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; ³Department of Physics, Clarendon Laboratory, University of Oxford



Inaccurate collisional or radiative rates will predict inaccurate spectra



• Non-LTE spectra are determined by a balance of competing collisional and radiative rates

State populations are found by solving coupled rate equations for population *n* of each state *p* of all charge states

• The accuracy of the atomic kinetics models is dependent on accuracy of rate coefficients

- + = ion level
- 1 = ground level
- *K* = collisional coefficient
- A = spontaneous emission rate
- α = electron capture rate

SCRAM accurately predicts the Ge charge-state distribution during 1-D expansion, but discrepancy emerges at late time

- observed spectra at early times



Energy (eV)	lon	$ \Psi_{i} angle$
1731	Li	3d _{5/2}
1766	Li	3d _{3/2}
1810	Li	3p _{1/2}
1822	Li	3p _{3/2}

Discrepancy can be exploited as a mechanism to infer kinetic rates

- densities $n_{\rm i}$ < 10²⁰ cm⁻³
- of the drive pulse

References

[1] Y. Frank *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas <u>27</u>, 063301 (2020). [4] J. A. M. van der Mullen, Phys. Rep. <u>191</u>, 109 (1990).





• Synthetic Ge L-shell spectra generated at T_{e} , n_{i} inferred from diagnostics agree with

 After onset of radial expansion near 2.5 ns, synthetic spectra indicate substantial recombination, contrary to indications of a steady charge state in observed spectra

• The buried layer is a viable platform to investigate recombination rates at

• The accuracy of recombination rates will be inferred from the charge-state distribution of spectra recorded after the end of the drive pulse - recombination at different densities can be probed by varying the duration

[2] M. May, R. Heeter, and J. Emig, Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>75</u>, 3740 (2004). [3] S. B. Hansen *et al.*, High Energy Density Phys. <u>3</u>, 109 (2007).

- Spectra from non-local-thermodynamic-equilibrium (non-LTE) plasmas of open-shell configurations are necessary to benchmark and discriminate between conflicting atomic models
- Recent buried-layer experiments constrain the evolution of temperature T_e and ion density n_i , and record Ge L-shell spectra
- While atomic kinetics models show good agreement with spectra recorded at higher density, they are unable to match data recorded at lower densities

Planar targets produce uniform, uniaxially expanding plasma and a quasi steady-state temperature [1]



- Sc K-shell, Ge L-shell spectra collected by elliptical crystal spectrometers (MSPEC) [2] and coupled to four-frame x-ray framing cameras (XRFC's)
- Diagnostics are timed coincident with isothermal expansion predicted by 1-D hydrodynamic simulations (right)

Laser and diagnostic geometry





X-ray images of self-emission constraint $n_i(t)$



 Average ion density n_i is inferred from time-resolved pinhole images of emission parallel and perpendicular to the target normal (TN)



 Measurements of height and radius from three equivalent shots demonstrate the repeatability of the platform prior to target disassembly

Inaccurate collisional or radiative rates will predict inaccurate spectra



Rate equation for population *n* of excited level *p* [4]

$$n(p) = \frac{P(p)}{D(p)}$$

$$P(p) = n_e n_+ [n_e K_+(p) + \alpha(p)]$$

$$+n_1[n_e K(1,q)]$$

+
$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{1}\neq\mathbf{p}\neq\mathbf{q}}} n(\mathbf{q}) [n_e K(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) + A(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})]$$

$$\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \boldsymbol{n_e} \, \boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{p}) + \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{p})$$

- Non-LTE spectra are determined by a balance of competing collisional and radiative rates
- State populations are found by solving coupled rate equations for population *n* of each state *p* of all charge states
- The accuracy of the atomic kinetics models is dependent on accuracy of rate coefficients

- + = ion level
- 1 = ground level
- **K** = collisional coefficient
- **A** = spontaneous emission rate
- α = electron capture rate

Sc K shell constrains $T_e(t)$, supports spatial uniformity



- Spectra exhibit Li satellites, He-like $W(2p_{3/2} \rightarrow 1s_{1/2})$, $Y(2p_{3/2} \rightarrow 1s_{1/2})$, and He $_{\beta}$; and Ly $_{\alpha}$ and Ly $_{\beta}$
- Emission-weighted T_e inferred by fitting Sc spectra to ensemble of single-T_e spectra synthesized by 0-D calculation in the atomic kinetics model, SCRAM [3]

SCRAM accurately predicts the Ge charge-state distribution during 1-D expansion, but discrepancy emerges at late time

- Synthetic Ge L-shell spectra generated at T_e , n_i inferred from diagnostics agree with observed spectra at early times
- After onset of radial expansion near 2.5 ns, synthetic spectra indicate substantial recombination, contrary to indications of a steady charge state in observed spectra



E29273

Discrepancy can be exploited as a mechanism to infer kinetic rates

- The buried layer is a viable platform to investigate recombination rates at densities $n_{\rm i} < 10^{20}$ cm⁻³
- The accuracy of recombination rates will be inferred from the charge-state distribution of spectra recorded after the end of the drive pulse
 - recombination at different densities can be probed by varying the duration of the drive pulse

References

[1] Y. Frank *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas <u>27</u>, 063301 (2020).

- [2] M. May, R. Heeter, and J. Emig, Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>75</u>, 3740 (2004).
- [3] S. B. Hansen et al., High Energy Density Phys. 3, 109 (2007).
- [4] J. A. M. van der Mullen, Phys. Rep. <u>191</u>, 109 (1990).