# Introduction to TriForce: A multi-physics code for hybrid fluid-kinetic simulations



- based hybrid fluid-kinetic simulations.
- We are strengthening the science base in support of several continually-validated code.
- students and scientists in astrophysics, plasma, geoscience,
- The hybrid method enables capabilities beyond either of the a range of topics such as controlled nuclear fusion, astrophysics, high-energy-density physics, and high-intensity lasers.
- The goal is to provide better predictive capability and access to advanced models for the benefit of the whole community.









## A.B. Sefkow<sup>1,2</sup>, J. G. Shaw<sup>2</sup>, J. Carroll-Nellenback<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Pai<sup>1</sup>, E. G. Blackman<sup>1</sup>, D. Cao<sup>2</sup>, J. R. C. Davies<sup>2</sup>, R. K. Follett<sup>2</sup>, A. Frank<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Giuliani<sup>3</sup>, M. <u>Haddad<sup>1</sup></u>, E. C. Hansen<sup>4</sup>, S. B. Hansen<sup>5</sup>, S. X. Hu<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Kish<sup>1</sup>, M. Lavell<sup>1</sup>, R. L. McCrory<sup>1</sup>, P. W. McKenty<sup>2</sup>, R. B. Spielman<sup>2</sup>, A. Tu<sup>2</sup>, A. Velberg<sup>1</sup>, and A. L. Velikovich<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Rochester, <sup>2</sup>Laboratory for Laser Energetics, <sup>3</sup>US Naval Research Laboratory, <sup>4</sup>University of Chicago, <sup>5</sup>Sandia National Laboratories Shock capturing and adaptive particle methods Planetary atmosphere evolution Comparison between TriForce (black) and the LLE 1D rad-hydro code LILAC (colors) and exoplanet habitability **ICF-relevant implosion 1D spherical geometry** Implosion of M~60 shell Outer radius = 1 mm Thin CH shell of 1 g/cc and $\Delta r = 0.1 \text{ mm}$ Initial temperature 29 Uniform v<sub>r</sub><sup>imp</sup>=-100 km/s Central void Gbar Ideal gas EOS Orszag-Tang vortex turbulence No radiation, laser, or 8.7 ns 🕺 9.2 ns 🦯 8.55 ns 8 ns 6 ns $\rho \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\nabla (P + Q) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Pi} + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{R}$ fusion calculations Sod shock tube problem with comparison to analytic result

## Electromagnetic fields

• Explicit or implicit time advance

**Rectangular or triangular mesh option** 

**Magnetic fields** 

A Com A COM

**NIVERSITY** of **ROCHESTER** 



 $abla \cdot {f B} = 0$ 

 $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \mathbf{E}}$ 

**3D** laser amplification

via Raman backscattering

 $abla imes {f B} = \mu_0 \left( \, {f J} + arepsilon_0 rac{arepsilon - arepsilon}{\partial t} \, ig) 
ight.$ 

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = - -$ 

∩ ∩ —<del>i i i i i i i</del> i

0.12 0.13 0.14 0.15 0.16 0.17 0.18

Radius (cm)

## Mod. SNB model $C_{v,e} \frac{dI_e}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{Q_{SH}} + C_{nonlocal}$ $(r - \nabla \cdot \frac{\lambda'_g(r)}{2} \nabla) H_g(r) = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{U}_g(r)$

 $\boldsymbol{U_g}(r) = \boldsymbol{Q_{SH}} \frac{1}{24} \int_{E_{q-1}/k_b T_e}^{E_{g/N_b Te}} \beta^4 e^{-\beta} d\beta$  $\lambda_g = 2(E_{g-\frac{1}{2}}/k_b T_e)^2 \lambda_{mfp}^e$  $C_{nonlocal} = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{Q_{nonlocal}} = \sum H_q^k / \lambda_q$ 

## Loads driven self-consistently by open-circuit voltage $\frac{\varphi_{oc} - Z_0 I_s - \varphi_c}{\varphi_{oc}}$ $_{c} = \frac{I_{s} - I_{l} - \varphi_{c}/R_{loss}}{I_{s} - I_{l} - \varphi_{c}/R_{loss}}$

 $\dot{I}_l = \frac{\varphi_c - L_l I_l}{L_0 + L_l}$ 



- Explicit or implicit PIC (particle-in-cell) push
- to interact with meshless SPH particles

![](_page_0_Figure_37.jpeg)

Magnetized charged particle transport

Standard scatter/gather operations are used

![](_page_0_Figure_40.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_41.jpeg)

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences under Award Number DE-SC0017951, the U.S. Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration under Award No. DE-NA0003856, the University of Rochester, and the New York State Energy Research and **Development Authority.**