Hot Electron Generation Mechanisms in Ignition-Scale Direct-Drive Coronal Plasmas on the NIF



M. J. Rosenberg University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics

61st Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics Fort Lauderdale, FL 21-25 October 2019



Summary

Planar experiments at the NIF have studied LPI mechanisms responsible for hotelectron generation at direct-drive ignition-relevant coronal conditions

- Planar experiments at scale lengths $L_n \sim 600 \ \mu m$ and $T_e \sim 3$ to 5 keV show hot electron generation of $f_{hot} \sim 0\%$ to 5% for laser intensities of 0.4 to $1.5 \times 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2$
- Stimulated Raman scattering (SRS) is inferred to be the dominant LPI mechanism
 - SRS is observed both at $n_c/4$ and in the $< n_c/4$ region
 - hot-electron signatures more strongly correlate with underdense SRS
- $3\omega/2$ measurements of SRS and/or TPD plasma waves at $n_c/4$ will provide a constraint on 3D modeling of hot electron generation mechanisms using LPSE*



Collaborators

A. A. Solodov, W. Seka, R. K. Follett, A. V. Maximov, C. Ren, S. Cao, S. P. Regan, P. B. Radha, T. J. B. Collins, D. H. Froula, J. Palastro, and V. N. Goncharov University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics

> J. F. Myatt University of Alberta Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

P. Michel, M. Hohenberger, G. Swadling, and J. S. Ross Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

> R. Scott and K. Glize Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



Motivation

Planar experiments on NIF reproduce plasma conditions unique to direct-drive ignition designs, which long scale lengths may make susceptible to LPI and hot electron preheat

2-D DRACO-simulated plasma conditions at $n_c/4$

	NIF ignition scale	NIF planar experiments
<i>L</i> _n (μm)	500 to 600	400 to 700
T _e (keV)	3.5 to 5	3 to 5
I _L (W/cm²)	(6 to 8) ×10 ¹⁴	(4 to 15) ×10 ¹⁴
η _{sRs} *	~10 to 17	~10 to 25
η_{TPD}^{**}	~3 to 6	~3 to 8



Experiments must be performed at these conditions to understand LPI at the NIF/ignition scale.

** A. Simon et al. Phys. Fluids 26, 3107 (1983).



^{*} C. S. Liu, M. N. Rosenbluth, and R. B. White, Phys. Fluids 17, 1211 (1974).

Hot-electron generation of f_{hot} up to 5% has been inferred in planar targets at intensities around 10¹⁵ W/cm² and SRS is observed



Intensity around 5 × 10¹⁴ W/cm² may be acceptable for preheat, but we need to understand: (1) LPI mechanisms (for mitigation), (2) how hot e⁻ diverge or couple to an implosion.*

> * A. Solodov *et al.* this conference. M. Rosenberg *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>120</u>, 055001 (2018).

UR



Various SRS mechanisms scale differently in ramp-pulse experiments:



E25962g



Various SRS mechanisms scale differently in ramp-pulse experiments: absolute $n_c/4$ SRS instability scales linearly with intensity;



E25962g



Various SRS mechanisms scale differently in ramp-pulse experiments: absolute $n_c/4$ SRS instability scales linearly with intensity; underdense (< $n_c/4$) SRS instability scales exponentially with intensity





UR 🔌

Hard x-ray (HXR) emission from hot electrons scales near-exponentially on ramp-pulse experiments



Exponential scaling suggests a connection between hot-electron generation and underdense SRS



Underdense SRS observations, including sidescatter*, correlate with hard x-ray measurements both in time and across different experiments



E27531b



UR 🔌

In addition to SRS scattered light measurements, $3\omega/2$ emission contains information about SRS and/or TPD plasma waves near $n_c/4$



Knowing the probed plasma wave k-vector and the scattered light spectrum constrains 3D LPSE* simulations of SRS and TPD that will relate the observed features to specific instabilities

* R. K. Follett et al. Phys. Plasmas. 24, 1031128 (2017)



Summary/Conclusions

Planar experiments at the NIF have studied LPI mechanisms responsible for hotelectron generation at direct-drive ignition-relevant coronal conditions

- Planar experiments at scale lengths $L_n \sim 600 \ \mu m$ and $T_e \sim 3$ to 5 keV show hot electron generation of $f_{hot} \sim 0\%$ to 5% for laser intensities of 0.4 to $1.5 \times 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2$
- Stimulated Raman scattering (SRS) is inferred to be the dominant LPI mechanism
 - SRS is observed both at $n_c/4$ and in the $< n_c/4$ region
 - hot-electron signatures more strongly correlate with underdense SRS
- $3\omega/2$ measurements of SRS and/or TPD plasma waves at $n_c/4$ will provide a constraint on 3D modeling of hot electron generation mechanisms using LPSE*

Knowing the density at which hot electrons are generated will guide hot electron preheat mitigation strategies for direct-drive ignition designs









Motivation

Direct-drive-ignition designs predict long-density scale lengths and high electron temperatures at which LPI may generate hot-electron preheat

1-D simulated plasma conditions for an igniting direct-drive design **10**²⁴ Electron temperature (keV) S Electron density (cm⁻³) surfa 1023 3 Quarter-critical $\sim 8 \times 10^{14} \, \text{W/cm}^2$ 1022 L_n ~ 550 μm 2 T_e ~ 4 keV *n*_c/4 1021 1020 Ω 1.5 2.0 2.5 1.0 Radius (mm)

E25732i

Experiments must be performed at these conditions to understand LPI at the NIF/ignition scale.



Motivation

Planar experiments on the NIF were designed to achieve plasma conditions comparable to direct-drive–ignition designs



E25732i

Experiments must be performed at these conditions to understand LPI at the NIF/ignition scale.



* C. S. Liu, M. N. Rosenbluth, and R. B. White, Phys. Fluids 17, 1211 (1974).



^{**} A. Simon et al. Phys. Fluids 26, 3107 (1983).

Optical data demonstrate different LPI physics on the NIF than on OMEGA: SRS dominates the scattered-light spectrum (both at and below $n_c/4$)



E25567j

This data suggests SRS is the dominant hot-electron source, but does not rule out the presence of TPD

M. Rosenberg et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 055001 (2018).

* W. Seka et al., Phys. Plasmas 16, 052701 (2009).



The dominance of SRS at the NIF scale may be partially explained by evaluating the absolute thresholds of SRS versus TPD



E26298t



M. Rosenberg et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 055001 (2018).

SRS sidescatter is observed and is thought to be a key underdense **SRS** mechanism



E25568i



M. Rosenberg et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 055001 (2018). *P. Michel et al. Phys. Rev. E 99, 033203 (2019).

This observation can be explained by tangential SRS sidescatter,* which allows for SRS observation at large angles and wavelength independent of drive-beam angle



*P. Michel et al. Phys. Rev. E 99, 033203 (2019).



Various SRS mechanisms scale differently in ramp-pulse experiments: absolute $n_c/4$ SRS instability scales linearly with intensity; underdense (< $n_c/4$) SRS instability scales exponentially with intensity





UR 🔌

In addition to SRS measurements, recent experiments diagnosed Thomson-scattered light from plasma waves at and below $n_c/4$



E27332c

Wave-matching conditions likely not satisfied for probing underdense SRS, but $3\omega/2$ feature contains information about plasma waves at $n_c/4$



In addition to SRS scattered light measurements, $3\omega/2$ emission contains information about SRS and/or TPD plasma waves near $n_c/4$



Knowing the probed plasma wave k-vector and the scattered light spectrum constrains 3D LPSE* simulations of SRS and TPD that will relate the observed features to specific instabilities

* R. K. Follett et al. Phys. Plasmas. 24, 1031128 (2017)



Experiments in September aim to identify the exact quarter-critical plasma waves that are being probed, with the help of new 3-D SRS/TPD modeling in *LPSE*



E27533a



The tolerable fraction of hot electrons generated (f_{hot}) depends on how the electrons couple to an implosion





A spherical-geometry platform has been implemented on the NIF to diagnose coupling of hot electrons to an imploding shell



Difference in hard x-ray signals between mass-equivalent CH and multilayered implosions \rightarrow hot-electron energy deposited in the inner shell layer.



See also A. A. Solodov, this conference.

Experiments demonstrate an identical SRS/hot-electron source and a $\sim 2 \times$ enhancement of HXR signal in the doped targets



E27877a

Hard x-ray enhancement is consistent with a wide angular divergence and ~XX% of hot-electron energy deposited as preheat in the inner shell layer.



See also A. A. Solodov, this conference.