Hot-Spot Flow Velocity in Laser-Direct-Drive Inertial Confinement Fusion Implosions



3-D nuclear and x-ray diagnostics are used on OMEGA to understand multidimensional effects on laser direct drive implosions

- The 1st and 2nd moments of the primary DT fusion neutron peak are diagnosed with four neutron time-of-flight detectors (3-D nToF)
- 3-D nToF measurements at stagnation indicate a hot-spot flow velocity of 50 to 150 km/s having an inverse relationship with neutron yield
- Comparison of 3-D hot-spot x-ray imaging* with 3-D nToF measurements reveals the hot-spot elongates along the hot-spot flow velocity direction

3-D x-ray and nuclear measurements are essential to diagnose the causes of performance limitations in inertial confinement fusion.

K. M. Woo et al., Ul2.00002, this conference O. Mannion et al., TO5.00002, this conference. A. Crilly et al., TO5.00001, this conference. C. Stoeckl et al., PO7.00010, this conference. Z. Mohammed et al., YO5.00008, this conference. S. Ivancic et al., UO7.00004, this conference.



Collaborators

S. P. Regan, <u>O. M. Mannion</u>, C. J. Forrest, J. P. Knauer, R. Betti, E. M. Campbell, D. Cao, V. Yu. Glebov, V. N. Goncharov, S. T. Ivancic, F. J. Marshall, P. B. Radha, T. C. Sangster, R. C. Shah, C. Sorce, C. Stoeckl, and W. Theobald

> Laboratory for Laser Energetics University of Rochester



Multidimensional effects are seeded by many sources of nonuniformity in laser direct drive



The on-target, laser drive is adjusted by changing initial target position to counteract the measured hot-spot flow velocity.



Hot-spot flow velocity

Asymmetric compression drives a hot-spot flow affecting the 1st and 2nd moments of the primary DT fusion neutrons*





*B. Appelbe and J. Chittenden, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion <u>53</u>, 045002 (2011); D. H. Munro, Nucl. Fusion <u>56</u>, 036001 (2016).

Hot-spot flow velocity

Six neutron time-of-flight detectors are used on OMEGA to infer hot-spot flow velocity and apparent T_i asymmetry*

H10 nTOF example nTOF detectors positioned along four axes measurements of DT neutrons Shot 93285 (DT *T*_i = 3.8 keV) 1.2 H4-H17 Shot 94017 (DT *T*_i = 4.8 keV) H10 1.0 Hot spot moving **Stationary** Signal (arbitrary units) $\widehat{d_1}$ away from detector hot spot ___ 0.8 0.6 **P2 P7/TIM 6** 0.4 Two additional LOS's are used for T_i inference. 0.2 stalk -0.0 $\langle E_1 \rangle = E_0 + \Delta E_{\rm th}(T_i) + \Delta E_{\rm f}(\vec{v}_{\rm f} \cdot \hat{d}_1)$ 405 415 425 435 with *i* = 1, 2, 3, 4 Time (ns) E28722

The hot-spot center of mass flow velocity is determined from the four measurements.

LOS: line of sight

*O. M. Mannion *et al.*, "A Suite of Neutron Time-of-Flight Detectors for Measurements of Hot Spot Motion in Direct Drive Inertial Confinement Fusion Experiments on OMEGA," to be submitted to Nuclear Instruments and Methods.





Neutron yield increases as the hot-spot flow decreases



The direction of hot-spot flow is fairly constant during a shot day, but varies from one shot day to another.



Counteracting the Hot-Spot Flow Velocity

Counteracting hot-spot flow velocity by imposing an ℓ = 1 drive asymmetry with an initial target offset improves target performance at stagnation



Three-dimensional measurements provide insight to improve implosion symmetry.



Hot-Spot Flow Velocity Versus 3-D Gated Hot-Spot X-Ray Images

Comparison of 3-D hot-spot imaging with 3-D nuclear measurements of hot-spot flow reveals the hot-spot elongates along flow direction



** F. J. Marshall *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>88</u>, 093702 (2017).

[†] F. J. Marshall and J. A. Oertel, Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>68</u>, 735 (1997).



UR 🔌

Hot-Spot Flow Velocity Versus 3-D Gated Hot-Spot X-Ray Images

KOCHESTER

Comparison of 3-D hot-spot imaging with 3-D nuclear measurements of hot-spot flow reveals the hot-spot elongates along flow direction



** F. J. Marshall et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 88, 093702 (2017).

[†] F. J. Marshall and J. A. Oertel, Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>68</u>, 735 (1997).

3-D nuclear and x-ray diagnostics are used on OMEGA to understand multidimensional effects on laser direct drive implosions

- The 1st and 2nd moments of the primary DT fusion neutron peak are diagnosed with four neutron time-of-flight detectors (3-D nToF)
- 3-D nToF measurements at stagnation indicate a hot-spot flow velocity of 50 to 150 km/s having an inverse relationship with neutron yield
- Comparison of 3-D hot-spot x-ray imaging* with 3-D nToF measurements reveals the hot-spot elongates along the hot-spot flow velocity direction

3-D x-ray and nuclear measurements are essential to diagnose the causes of performance limitations in inertial confinement fusion.

K. M. Woo et al., Ul2.00002, this conference O. Mannion et al., TO5.00002, this conference. A. Crilly et al., TO5.00001, this conference. C. Stoeckl et al., PO7.00010, this conference. Z. Mohammed et al., YO5.00008, this conference. S. Ivancic et al., UO7.00004, this conference.



Diagnostics are being developed to measure laser drive on target



E28715

As a tool to improve the implosion symmetry, the target positioning is adjusted to compensate sources of nonuniformity.

> FCC: frequency-conversion crystal F-ASP: stage-F alignment sensor package DPR: distributed polarization rotators DPP: distributed phase plates



Spatial Variation in the Compressed Areal Density

Counteracting hot-spot flow velocity by imposing an $\ell = 1$ drive asymmetry alters the spatial variation in the compressed areal density (ρR)



3-D diagnostics for hot spot and compressed shell are essential.

TIM: ten-inch manipulator



LDD on OMEGA

The best-performing implosion on OMEGA achieved an energy-scaled $(\chi_{no \alpha})_{scaled} = 0.74^*$

Metric	Shot 90288	Near-term goal
Yield	$1.6\pm0.04 imes10^{14}$	1.5 × 10 ¹⁴
$\langle {T}_{ m i} angle$ (keV)	4.55±0.3	4.5
$\langle ho R angle$ (mg/cm²)	160±12	190 to 200
$\chi_{\Omega} = \rho R^{0.61}$ $(0.12Y_{16}/M_{stag})^{0.34}$	0.174 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.20
Energy scaled $(\chi_{no \alpha})_{scaled}$	0.74	0.8 to 0.85
$\left< P \right>_{\sf BT}$ (Gbar)	52.7±7	60 to 70

Constant fusion yield curves for 1.9 MJ of laser energy



* Scaled to E_{UV} = 1.9 MJ; V. Gopalaswamy *et al.*, Nature <u>565</u>, 581 (2019).

