### Analysis and Reconstruction of Highest-Performing OMEGA DT Layered Implosion Shot 90288



D. Patel University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics 61st Annual Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics Fort Lauderdale, FL 21–25 October 2019



Experimental observables for shot 90288 were reconstructed using 2-D radiation-hydrodynamics simulations



- Shot 90288 produced Yield =  $1.51 \times 10^{14}$  and  $\rho R$  = 160 (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>), yet showed significantly lower yield than 1-D with YOC  $\approx$  0.4
- Analysis of experimental data, suggests that degradation from a systematic low mode  $(\ell = 2)$  and a mid-mode  $(\ell \ge 10)$  is a viable hypothesis to explain lower performance
- The radiation-hydrodynamic code *DEC2D*\* was used to reconstruct the experimental data using *adhoc* combinations of low and mid-modes



Summary

#### **Collaborators**



R. Betti, K. M. Woo, V. Gopalaswamy, J. P. Knauer, and R. C. Shah

University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics

A. Bose

Massachusetts Institute of Technology



### Shot 90288 used a new pulse-shape design and achieved the highest performance to date on OMEGA



 $\chi_{no \alpha}$  = no- $\alpha$  Lawson parameter for ignition



## The performance of shot 90288 was significantly lower than predicted by 1-D code (*LILAC*)

When compared to performance as predicted by 1-D code LILAC

- Yield is 60% lower
- Inferred  $\langle P \rangle_n$  is ~50% lower
- Convergence is 10% lower



This motivated an effort to find the dominant cause(s) of degradation for shot 90288.



## Measured bang time, absorption, and trajectory are in general agreement with the 1-D simulation



It is unlikely that 1-D degradation is responsible for lower performance.



UR

# An SSD scan\* was performed on a similar implosion (shot 90291) to infer the effect of imprinting at the highest available smoothing



Data from the SSD suggest that the performance of implosions at this adiabat and IFAR is insensitive to imprinting at 100% SSD.

\*J. P. Knauer *et al.*, YO5.00004, this conference. SSD: smoothing by spectral dispersion OD: outer diameter IFAR: in-flight aspect ratio



### Shot 90288 showed almost no $T_i$ asymmetry, suggesting mode 1 is not a dominant source of degradation



Target offset, beam mispointing, ice roughness (sources for mode 1), etc. are unlikely sources of degradation.

\*K. M. Woo, UI2.0002, this conference (invited).



### Both framed and time-integrated x-ray self-emission images show a hot spot with ellipticity oriented in the general direction of the stalk (up-down)



- Separate experiments have been conducted to quantify in-flight low-mode asymmetry<sup>†</sup>
- These measurements evidence a systematic  $\ell = 2$  aligned to the capsule mounting (stalk)
- Since cryogenic targets use similar mounting, we expect the results to hold true for the present analysis

The in-flight measurement of warm implosions also shows a residual  $\ell = 2$  along the axis of the stalk consistent with the  $\ell = 2$  asymmetry observed in x-ray images.

\* GMXI: gated monochromatic x-ray imager

<sup>†</sup>R. Shah *et al.*, "First Observation of Inflight Symmetry Affecting Implosion Performance at Stagnation," to be submitted to Physical Review Letters.



UR IIF

<sup>\*\*</sup> F. J. Marshall and J. A. Oertel, Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>68</u>, 735 (1997).

#### X-ray self-emission images of the hot spot show a more peaked profile than a synthetic GMXI from a clean simulation at equal convergence



Converging spikes from a mid-mode driven by OMEGA 60-beam port geometry is a likely cause for a more peaked profile.\*

\*A. Bose *et al*., Phys. Rev. E <u>94</u>, 011201(R) (2016).

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

TC15146

The radiation-hydrodynamic code *DEC2D* was used to match the experimental observables by varying the amplitudes of  $\ell = 2$  and  $\ell = 12^*$ 

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

\*\* PrismSPECT, Prism Computational Sciences, Inc., Madison, WI

<sup>†</sup> F. Weilacher, P. B. Radha, and C. Forrest, Phys. Plasmas 25, 042704 (2018).

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

<sup>\*</sup> A. Bose *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E <u>94</u>, 011201(R) (2016).

### Neutron yield, observed hot-spot profile $(R_0, \eta)$ , neutron-averaged temperatures $\langle T_i \rangle_n$ , and areal density $\langle \rho R \rangle_n$ were matched for the reconstruction

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

	Experiment	Simulation	
Yield	$1.51 \times 10^{14}$	$1.47 \times 10^{14}$	
$ ho R (mg/cm^2)$	159.5	162	

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

	Experiment	Simulation
$R_0$ ( $\mu$ m)	27.5	27.3
η	2.3	2.25

	Experiment	Simulation
$\langle T_{\rm i} \rangle_{ m n,max}$ (keV)	4.85	4.79
$\langle T_{\rm i} \rangle_{\rm n,min}$ (keV)	4.55	4.39

 $\ell$  = 2 corrected yield  $\rightarrow$  2.27  $\times$  10<sup>14</sup>  $\ell$  = 12 corrected yield  $\rightarrow$  2.02  $\times$  10<sup>14</sup>

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Experimental observables for shot 90288 were reconstructed using 2-D radiation-hydrodynamics simulations

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Shot 90288 produced Yield =  $1.51 \times 10^{14}$  and  $\rho R$  = 160 (mg/cm<sup>2</sup>), yet showed significantly lower yield than 1-D with YOC  $\approx$  0.4
- Analysis of experimental data, suggests that degradation from a systematic low mode  $(\ell = 2)$  and a mid-mode  $(\ell \ge 10)$  is a viable hypothesis to explain lower performance
- The radiation-hydrodynamic code *DEC2D*\* was used to reconstruct the experimental data using *adhoc* combinations of low and mid-modes

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Backup

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Asymmetry in the laser Illumination pattern is observed in Ge-doped\* implosions

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*D. Patel et al., JO7.0004, presented at the 59th Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 23–27 October 2017. TIM: ten-inch manipulator

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Time-integrated x-ray images

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

Experiment

Simulation

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

### The performance of shot 90288 was significantly lower than predicted by 1-D code (*LILAC*)

When compared to performance as predicted by 1-D code *LILAC* 

- Yield is 60% lower
- Inferred  $\langle P \rangle_n$  is ~50% lower
- Convergence is 10% lower

	Experiment	LILAC (1-D)	Fraction
Yield ×10 <sup>14</sup>	1.51	3.8	0.4
ho R (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	160	180	0.9
$\langle T_{\rm i}  angle_{ m n,min}$ (keV)	4.55	4.97	0.9
$\left< P \right>_{ m n}$ (Gbar)	50	92	0.54
$R_0$ ( $\mu$ m)	27	24.5	0.9

#### This motivated an effort to find the dominant cause(s) of degradation for shot 90288.

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)