Progress and Plans for Polar-Direct-Drive Inertial Confinement Fusion from OMEGA to the National Ignition Facility



T. C. Sangster University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics NIF Town Hall 56th Annual Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics New Orleans, LA 27–31 October 2014



Summary

Direct laser illumination (direct drive) is an alternate approach for creating a burning DT plasma with MJ-class lasers

- Polar direct drive (PDD) is a multi-laboratory collaboration
- Symmetric direct-drive cryogenic DT implosions on OMEGA provide the scientific basis for a direct–drive ignition campaign
- PDD experiments on the National Ignition Facility (NIF) are validating drive symmetry and laser–plasma instability (LPI) modeling at the appropriate energy/plasma scale

(NRL)

NIF

• The "PDD Laser Path-Forward" working group is developing the plan to integrate new laser capabilities and dedicated optics for improved PDD implosion performance

The PDD campaign has grown from 4 shots in FY13 to a planned 15 shots in FY15.





M. Hohenberger, P. B. Radha, V. N. Goncharov, T. J. B. Collins, R. S. Craxton, D. H. Edgell, D. H. Froula, D. R. Harding, S. X. Hu, I. V. Igumenshchev, T. J. Kessler, J. P. Knauer, J. A. Marozas, R. L. McCrory, P. W. McKenty, D. D. Meyerhofer, D. T. Michel, J. F. Myatt, J. D. Zuegel, W. Seka, S. P. Regan, and F. J. Marshall Laboratory for Laser Energetics University of Rochester

> J. A. Frenje, M. Gatu Johnson, R. D. Petrasso, H. Rinderknect, and M. Rosenberg

> > **Plasma Science and Fusion Center, MIT**

D. T. Casey, S. LePape, A. J. Mackinnon, and R. J. Wallace

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

A. Nikroo and M. Farrell

General Atomics

S. Obenschain, M. Karasik, A. Schmitt, and J. Weaver

Naval Research Laboratory



PDD uses deterministic power imbalance to achieve nearly symmetric direct-drive on the NIF*



inform the decision to reconfigure for symmetric direct drive.

TC7194o

*R. S. Craxton et al., Phys. Plasmas 12, 056304 (2005); F. J. Marshall et al., J. Phys. IV France 133, 153 (2006).



Symmetric direct drive is a compelling alternative for laser-driven ICF



Basic point design of symmetric 1.8-MJ ignition design*



Relative to indirect drive (ID):

- At least 7× more fuel to the same V_{imp}
- 4× lower stagnation pressure required (~100 Gbar for margin 1 symmetric, 1.8 MJ)
- 1.5× lower convergence
- 3× higher fuel adiabat
- Margin comparable to ID ($P\tau/P\tau_{iqn}$)

More adaptable to innovative and high-risk approaches (shock and fast ignition, magnetization) with superior diagnostic access.



Solutions for the scientific and technical challenges require experimental campaigns on both OMEGA and the NIF



- Drive uniformity
- Laser imprint
- Energy coupling & thermal transport

NIF

(NRL

- Preheat (hot electron)
- Cross-beam energy transfer (CBET)
- Velocity > 350 km/s

Improve modeling and prediction with hundreds of shots/year on OMEGA and verify prediction at ignition scale with tens of shots/year on the NIF.



CHESTER

The physics models used for PDD target design are being developed and tested with layered DT implosions on OMEGA





- 1-D LILAC simulations that include nonlocal (NL) thermal transport and CBET losses reproduce the measured absorption and shell kinetic energy^{*}
- Little evidence for hot-electron preheat; mitigation with mid-Z layers**
- Hydroefficiency of alternate ablators favors Be^{***}
- CBET mitigation will be required for high convergence at modest IFAR[†]
- Campaign underway to improve $P\tau$ by mid-2015

The goal for LLE/OMEGA is to demonstrate ignition hydro-equivalent implosion performance by the end of the decade.

E23552a



^{*}D. T. Michel et al., JO4.00009, this conference.

^{**}J. F. Myatt et al., Phys. Plasmas 20, 052705 (2013).

^{***}D. T. Michel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>111</u>, 245005 (2013).

[†]D. H. Froula et al., NO4.00013, this conference.

[‡]V. N. Goncharov et al., Phys. Plasmas <u>21</u>, 056315 (2014).

The NIF PDD campaigns* are designed to validate energetics and laser–plasma instability predictions at ignition scale



Dedicated PDD phase plates are being developed to mitigate nonuniformities and drive uncertainties associated with the ID configuration.





^{*}P. B. Radha *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas <u>20</u>, 056306 (2013); M. Hohenberger, Cl1.00001, this conference.

Self-emission* and radiography are used to infer the shell motion in PDD implosions on the NIF



In-flight shell imaging (used to infer the velocity) is an effective integrated measure of the laser coupling.



Delayed trajectories relative to 2-D simulations suggest decompression at the ablation surface*



Target-surface quality, preheat, and imprint are the likely culprits and will be investigated with experiments in 2015.

*P. B. Radha et al., JO4.00013, this conference.



TC11726a

Two-dimensional shape predictions qualitatively agree with the measured evolution when CBET losses are included*



The shell symmetry can be predictably changed using beam pointing and defocus as well as pulse shaping.



*M. Hohenberger, Cl1.00001, this conference.

As demonstrated on OMEGA,* hot-electron preheat can be mitigated using mid-Z ablators for PDD implosions



*J. F. Myatt et al., Phys. Plasmas 20, 052705 (2013);



E23679a

D. H. Froula et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 54, 124016 (2012).

CBET reduces the ablation pressure late in time by up to 50%*



There are two options for CBET mitigation on OMEGA and the NIF:

- Minimize the light going over the "horizon" of the capsule (best for OMEGA)
 - laser spots underfill the target

(NRL

- "zooming" changes the laser spot size during the pulse**
- Detune the laser frequencies to minimize the simulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) resonance volume in which CBET occurs (best for the NIF)
 - "hemispheric wavelength detuning"
 - phase-plate design





^{*}V. N. Goncharov *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas <u>21</u>, 056315 (2014). **D. H. Froula *et al.*, NO4.00013, this conference.

The PDD campaign on the NIF requires staging a number of new capabilities over the next several years



E19668w



SSD = smoothing by spectral dispersion

Near-term PDD experiments on the NIF follow from the results of the first 12 shots and recent campaigns on OMEGA

	Q1	Q2	Q 3	Q4
Imprint/Rayleigh–Taylor growth (cone-in-shell)*	3 (Nov)	2 (Mar)		
Reduced nonuniformity seeds (spherical with overcoat)**		2 (Jan)		2 (Aug)
LPI/two-plasmon decay (TPD) scaling (planar)			2 (Apr)	
Mass ablation rate (spherical Si ablator)***				2 (Aug)
Alternate ablator (Be) [†]				2 (Sept)

• Validation of single-quad multi-FM SSD smoothing and hemispheric $\Delta\lambda$ to mitigate CBET have been deferred to FY16

(NRL

Institute o

NIF



^{*}G. Fiksel et al., Phys. Plasmas <u>19</u>, 062704 (2012).

^{**}S. P. Obenschain et al., Phys. Plasmas <u>9</u>, 2234 (2002).

^{***}A. K. Davis et al., JO4.00014, this conference.

[†]D. T. Michel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>111</u>, 245005 (2013).

The PDD experimental plan follows the implementation schedule of the required laser capabilities



(NRL

Institute of

NIF



E23737

Summary/Conclusions

Direct laser illumination (direct drive) is an alternate approach for creating a burning DT plasma with MJ-class lasers

- Polar direct drive (PDD) is a multi-laboratory collaboration
- Symmetric direct-drive cryogenic DT implosions on OMEGA provide the scientific basis for a direct–drive ignition campaign
- PDD experiments on the National Ignition Facility (NIF) are validating drive symmetry and laser-plasma instability (LPI) modeling at the appropriate energy/plasma scale

(NRL)

NIF

• The "PDD Laser Path-Forward" working group is developing the plan to integrate new laser capabilities and dedicated optics for improved PDD implosion performance

The commitment of the NIF to improve operational efficiencies and expand capabilities underpins the continued success of the PDD campaign.



The NIF target chamber was designed to support symmetric direct drive



X-ray drive (beams around the poles)



Symmetric drive



