The Effects of Beam Geometry and Polarization on Two-Plasmon Decay Driven by Multiple Laser Beams





The two-plasmon–decay (TPD) threshold is sensitive to the number, orientation, and polarization of the beams

- For two beams polarized out of their common plane, a collective absolute mode near k = 0 dominates TPD
- For two beams polarized in their common plane there are two absolute TPD modes; the dominant one depends on angle of incidence
- The thresholds of multibeam absolute modes decrease with larger incidence angles and increased polarization components in the plane of the common wave

The absolute instability usually dominates for multibeam TPD.



TC10636a

For two beams polarized in a common plane, we see the expected gain enhancement at the intersection of the hyperbolas



TC10086a



When both beams are polarized out of their common plane, enhanced gain is seen near the origin



TC10090c



The origin in *k* space corresponds to the plasma wave turning point, allowing TPD to be absolute there

- In general, instabilities can be convective only in inhomogeneous plasmas*
- Near the turning point, however, $k \rightarrow 0$ group velocities and convection decrease and there is a finite threshold for absolute instability**
- Enhanced multibeam convective gain near the origin in *k* space suggests the potential for absolute instability there

UR





^{*}M. N. Rosenbluth, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>29</u>, 565 (1972).

^{**}C. S. Liu, M. N. Rosenbluth, and R. B. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>31</u>, 697 (1973);

A. Simon et al., Phys. Fluids <u>26</u>, 3107 (1983).

For a single beam, the absolute TPD threshold* is lower than the Rosenbluth convective threshold

• The Simon threshold (adjusted for s-polarized oblique incidence) is

$$\eta \equiv rac{I_{14} L_{\mu}}{233 T_{keV} \cos heta} > 1$$

- The Rosenbluth convective gain is $G_{\rm R} = \frac{2\pi\gamma_0^2}{\kappa' V_1 V_2} = \frac{I_{14}L_{\mu}}{53.6T_{\rm keV}\cos\theta} \approx 4.35\,\eta$
- The nominal convective threshold is $G_R > 2\pi$ or $\eta > 1.44$
- Therefore, the absolute instability appears below the convective instability threshold; this, in general, remains true for multiple beams





TC10637a

The absolute threshold for TPD depends on the angle of incidence and polarization



ROCHESTER

TC10640h

At larger angles, the on-axis mode is closer to the hyperbolas than the off-axis modes





 $\theta = 40^{\circ}$

UR 🔌

LL



With more beams, the absolute TPD threshold for the on-axis mode is quite sensitive to the cone angle



TC10645



In multibeam irradiation geometries the large-angle beams make a significant contribution



UR 🔌

- For the "hex" location, the single-beam threshold for 21 beams with polarization smoothing is $I_{14} = 1.24$; without the outermost nine beams it rises to 1.76
- This is true even though (as a result of inverse bremsstrahlung, CBET, refraction, and beam profile) only ~6% of the nominal intensity in these outer beams reaches quarter-critical

TC11027



In multibeam irradiation geometries the threshold is quite sensitive to location



LL

TC11028



Thresholds are lowest near points with symmetric irradiation



- At "hex" points, the single-beam threshold with polarization smoothing and L_{μ} = 150, T_{keV} = 2.0, is I_{14} = 1.24, so 1.35 is well above threshold
- For a "pent" point it rises to 1.37, marginally at threshold
- For general points the threshold is ~2, so such points should be below the absolute threshold



The two-plasmon–decay (TPD) threshold is sensitive to the number, orientation, and polarization of the beams

- For two beams polarized out of their common plane, a collective absolute mode near k = 0 dominates TPD
- For two beams polarized in their common plane there are two absolute TPD modes; the dominant one depends on angle of incidence
- The thresholds of multibeam absolute modes decrease with larger incidence angles and increased polarization components in the plane of the common wave

The absolute instability usually dominates for multibeam TPD.



TC10636a