Numerical Investigation of the Effect of TPD Electron Preheat in Planar Rayleigh–Taylor Experiments



J. A. Delettrez University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics 52nd Annual Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics Chicago, IL 8–12 November 2010

Summary

Planar Rayleigh–Taylor (RT) experiments were simulated with DRACO that includes preheat from two-plasmondecay (TPD) electrons

- Planar experiments on the OMEGA laser showed reduced RT growth for a 20- μ m-wavelength perturbation at 1 × 10¹⁵ W/cm² but no reduction at 5 × 10¹⁴ W/cm^{2*}
- A straight-line transport model was added to the 2-D hydrodynamic code DRACO to model the preheat from two-plasmon-decay (TPD) electrons
- The source parameters are based on those in the 1-D hydrocode *LILAC* and are computed from the local TPD threshold parameter over the entire laser spot
- The resulting preheat has little effect on RT growth in the high-intensity case but reduces the spike density—the effect is smaller in the low-intensity case



V. A. Smalyuk*, A. Shvydky, and S. X. Hu

University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics

*Now at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

The Rayleigh–Taylor instability was studied using the acceleration of 20- μ m-thick planar-CH targets



The RT growth is strongly stabilized at an intensity of $10^{15}\,W/cm^2$ compared to $5\times10^{14}\,W/cm^2$



Smalyuk et al. attributed the decreased growth rates to preheat from TPD electrons and nonlocal thermal-electron transport.

The straight-line electron transport in *DRACO* uses the same source conditions as in *LILAC*¹

 The percentage of laser energy into TPD electrons is a function of the threshold parameter given by²

 $\eta = I_{14} \times L \ (\mu m) / [233 \times T \ (keV)]$

• The electrons are created at the quarter-critical surface with the temperature

 $T_{\rm h} = 10 \text{ x } I_{14} \text{ (W/cm^2)}$

- The electrons are given a uniform 30° spread based on previous experiments³
- The energy loss formula is from Li and Petrasso⁴



¹J. A. Delettrez et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. <u>53</u>, 248 (2008).

²A. Simon et al., Phys. Fluids <u>26</u>, 3107 (1983).

³J. F. Myatt et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. <u>53</u>, 168 (2008).

⁴C. K. Li and R. D. Petrasso, Phys. Rev. E <u>70</u>, 067401 (2004).

The simulation includes the entire laser spot to account for the spot-intensity profile

• $\eta(y)$ is computed at the quarter-critical surface over the laser spot

UR

- The electrons are reflected at y boundaries of the plasma
- The electrons reaching the upper boundary "escape"



long scale lengths at quarter-critical (270 μ m).

For the 20- μ m-wavelength and 10¹⁵ W/cm² case, the electron preheat reduced the mass density in the RT perturbation

Mass-density and electron-temperature (eV) contours at 800 ps



TPD electrons deposited 9 J (0.2% laser energy) in the perturbed region and 16.8 J (0.4% of laser energy) in the target.

The electron preheat had a smaller effect on the RT perturbation for the low-intensity case than for the high-intensity case



UR

The smaller effect in the low-intensity case is mostly due to a two-fold decrease in laser energy rather than to the lower-threshold parameter.

Summary/Conclusions

Planar Rayleigh–Taylor (RT) experiments were simulated with DRACO that includes preheat from two-plasmondecay (TPD) electrons

- Planar experiments on the OMEGA laser showed reduced RT growth for a 20- μ m-wavelength perturbation at 1 \times 10¹⁵ W/cm² but no reduction at 5 \times 10¹⁴ W/cm^{2*}
- A straight-line transport model was added to the 2-D hydrodynamic code DRACO to model the preheat from two-plasmon-decay (TPD) electrons
- The source parameters are based on those in the 1-D hydrocode *LILAC* and are computed from the local TPD threshold parameter over the entire laser spot
- The resulting preheat has little effect on RT growth in the high-intensity case but reduces the spike density—the effect is smaller in the low-intensity case