### Forming Cryogenic DT Targets for OMEGA



D. R. Harding University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics 49th Annual Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics Orlando, FL 12–16 November 2007

### We routinely achieve the 1- $\mu$ m-rms ice roughness specification (all modes) for DT targets

- Requires 12 h to form a DT-ice layer
  - 60% probability of achieving the 1- $\mu$ m specification within 24 h

UR

- The ice-layering process is very repeatable
  - median ice roughness is 1.0- $\mu$ m rms—3-D, all modes
- Decay of tritium to form <sup>3</sup>He affects the ice smoothness
  - no evidence of He bubbles is observed
- Cooling the target by 2 K in 2 minutes gives a ~10-s window when the gas density is lowered to 0.3 mg/cc and the ice remains smooth.
- DT ice layers are visible in foam targets.

In the future, we will work to understand how ice roughness develops when the ice is rapidly cooled.

### The ice-layer roughness is characterized from an optical shadowgraph

• Optical system locates the gas–ice interface (precision ±0.1  $\mu$ m)



• Numerical analysis:



\*S. Pollaine and S. Hatchett Nucl. Fusion <u>44</u>, 117 (2004).

UR

# The layering process is very repeatable: melting and reforming the ice layer using the same protocol yields a similar roughness



- Variability in the ice roughness is attributed to the variability in the initial location of the seed crystal and how it grows.
- The average ice roughness of 25 targets is 1.1±0.4  $\mu m.$  The median value is 1.0  $\mu m.$

## Unless an ice layer is free of defects and perturbations, multiple views are required to characterize it accurately

- +  $\sigma^{3\text{-D}}$ -rms roughness from the 3-D analysis
- $\sigma^{2\text{-D}}$ -rms roughness from averaging 50 2-D analyses

#### **Defect-free DT target**

- $\sigma^{3-D} = 0.8 \ \mu m$
- $\sigma^{2-D} = 0.6 \,\mu m \pm 0.1 \,\mu m$



- $\sigma^{3-D} = 1.9 \ \mu m$
- $\sigma^{2-D} = 2.9 \ \mu m \pm 1.4 \ \mu m$

### D<sub>2</sub> target with a systemic thermal perturbation

- $\sigma^{3-D} = 2.8 \ \mu m$
- $\sigma^{2-D} = 2.3 \ \mu m \pm 0.6 \ \mu m$



## The roughness of a DT-ice layer increases with time; there is no similar behavior with $D_2$ targets

 Effect is attributed to the decay of tritium and accumulation of <sup>3</sup>He; there is no evidence of He bubbles in the ice layer.



Initial

19 days at 19.5 K

40 h at 17.7 K—no bubbles

UR LLE

### Rapidly cooling the target allows a brief window before the ice roughens



### The DT ice layer in a foam capsule possessed a greater roughness than typically obtained in non-foam capsules



Transparent ice layers in foam targets are achieved by melting and refreezing the ice layer to reduce the void content. Summary/Conclusions

### We routinely achieve the 1- $\mu$ m-rms ice roughness specification (all modes) for DT targets

- Requires 12 h to form a DT-ice layer
  - 60% probability of achieving the 1- $\mu$ m specification within 24 h

UR

- The ice-layering process is very repeatable
  - median ice roughness is 1.0- $\mu$ m rms—3-D, all modes
- Decay of tritium to form <sup>3</sup>He affects the ice smoothness
  - no evidence of He bubbles is observed
- Cooling the target by 2 K in 2 minutes gives a ~10-s window when the gas density is lowered to 0.3 mg/cc and the ice remains smooth.
- DT ice layers are visible in foam targets.

In the future, we will work to understand how ice roughness develops when the ice is rapidly cooled.