Instabilities of Relativistic Electron Beams in Plasmas: Spatial Growth and Absolute Instability

R. W. Short and J. Myatt

University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics



48th Annual Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics

> Philadelphia, PA 30 October–3 November 2006

A general kinetic dispersion relation has been developed for relativistic electron beams in plasmas

- Previous kinetic instability analysis has been restricted to real transverse wave vectors.
- Generalizing the dispersion relation to complex wave vectors allows investigation of spatial growth and absolute instability.
- Generalization to arbitrary wave-vector angles allows investigation of the relative importance of filamentation, two-stream, and mixed modes.
- Some preliminary results: absolute instability is restricted to larger transverse wavelengths; filamentation generally grows faster than two-stream or mixed instabilities.

Most fast-ignition scenarios require propagation of a relativistic electron beam through a plasma

- Large-scale beam instabilities (kinking, pinching) develop slowly on the FI timescale.
- Microinstabilities grow faster and include beam-plasma (electrostatic) and filamentation (electromagnetic or mixed) instabilities.

UR 🔌

- These instabilities require impedance.
 - reactive (electron inertia, Weibel and beam–plasma instability): dominant at low densities (few × critical).
 - resisitive (collisional, resistive filamentation): dominant at high densities (compressed core).
 - a FI beam will transit both regions (reactive first).
- A fully relativistic treatment of the collisionless case has been carried out analytically; the collisional case is more difficult.

Instabilities can be treated as a perturbed equilibrium provided the growth times are shorter than the beam slowing time

- Assume that, in equilibrium, the charge densities, currents, and fields vanish and that all perturbed quantities have the space and time dependence $e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\omega t)}$.
- Maxwell's equations relate the current to the perturbed electric field.

• The rest of the problem consists of using the plasma properties to derive the perturbed current as a response to *E* (the dielectric tensor).

The simplest model treats the return current as purely resistive

- The beam current J_b is assumed collisionless and, in equilibrium, is balanced by a return current $J_p = -J_b$.
- In the resistive model (Gremillet *et al.*,*), the perturbed current is related to the field by $E = \eta J_p$, where η is the resistivity.
- When the frequencies (real or growth rate) become comparable to η , inertial effects can be included using the result from a fluid treatment

$$\eta \rightarrow \frac{\omega}{\omega + k \cdot v_{0b}} \eta - \frac{4\pi i \omega}{\omega_p^2}$$
, where v_{0b} is the equilibrium beam velocity.

• At low densities inertial effects dominate the perturbed return current, and a collisionless kinetic treatment is appropriate.

The relativistic electron beam can be represented as a Maxwell–Boltzmann–Jüttner (MBJ) distribution

- The MBJ distribution is a relativistic generalization of the Maxwellian $f_{0}(w) = \frac{\xi n_{0}}{4\pi\Gamma K_{2}(\xi)} e^{-\xi\Gamma(\gamma-\beta\cdot w)}, \text{ where } \xi \equiv \frac{mc^{2}}{k_{B}T_{R}} = \frac{c^{2}}{\upsilon_{T}^{2}}, w \equiv \frac{p}{mc} = \gamma \frac{v}{c}, \beta$ is the average beam β , and $\Gamma \equiv (1-\beta^{2})^{-1/2} = \sqrt{1+w^{2}}$.
- When the thermal spread is small compared to the beam velocity, this can be approximated as a drifting Maxwellian

$$f_{0}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{(m\nu_{T})^{3}\Gamma} n_{b} e^{-\frac{\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{z}}{\Gamma} - mc\beta\right)^{2} + \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}}{2(m\nu_{T})^{2}}}$$

• These forms are also used to represent the return current in the collisionless case.

In the collisionless case, the perturbed currents are calculated from the relativistic Vlasov equation

- The linearized relativistic Vlasov equation can be written as $\frac{\partial f_{1\alpha}}{\partial t} + \frac{cw}{\gamma} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{1\alpha}}{\partial x} = -\frac{q_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}c} \Big(\mathbf{E} + \frac{w}{\gamma} \times \mathbf{B} \Big) \cdot \frac{\partial f_{0\alpha}}{\partial w}.$
- The dispersion relation is obtained by using Maxwell's equations to relate the fields to the perturbed current:

$$j_{b} = \frac{-ie^{2}}{m\omega} \left[\int \frac{p}{\gamma} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial p} d^{3}p + \int \frac{\left(k \cdot \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial p}\right)pp}{\gamma(\gamma m\omega - k \cdot p)} d^{3}p \right] \cdot E.$$

- In the drifting Maxwellian approximation, the integrals can be expressed in terms of the usual plasma Z-function.
- The exact relativistic integrals can be expressed in terms of integrals K = (7)

of the form
$$\int_{-1}^{1} ds \frac{\gamma}{\omega - cks} \frac{\kappa_{n/2}(z)}{z^{n/2}}$$
, where $\gamma = (1 - s^2)^{-1/2}$ and $z \equiv \xi \Gamma \sqrt{(1 - \beta_3 s)^2 \gamma^2 - (\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2)}$.

The filamentary absolute mode is limited to a smaller range of wave numbers than the temporal instability 1 0.1 1-MeV beam $n_b = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $\frac{\gamma}{\omega_b}$ 0.01 $\frac{n_b}{n_0} = 0.01$ Temporal growth $\frac{v_T}{c} = 0.03$ 0.001 **Absolute** mode 0.0001 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 $rac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\mathbf{c}}{\omega_{\mathbf{b}}}$

The spatial growth rates also peak at smaller wave numbers

1 1-MeV beam 0.1 $n_b = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ Im(k_z)c $\frac{n_b}{n_0} = 0.01$ ω_{b} 0.01 $\frac{\upsilon_T}{c} = 0.03$ Spatial growth Absolute mode 0.001 0.01 0.1 10 100 1 $rac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\mathbf{c}}{\omega_{\mathbf{b}}}$

In general, the filamentary mode predominates over the two-stream and mixed modes

0.4 1-MeV beam 0.3 $n_b = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $\frac{\gamma}{\omega_b}$ *k* = 1.0 $\frac{n_b}{n_0} = 0.01$ 0.2 *k* = 10.0 $\frac{\upsilon_T}{c} = 0.03$ 0.1 0.0 30 60 90 0 θ

But for some values of the wave number the growth rate may peak for a mixed mode



Summary/Conclusions

A general kinetic dispersion relation has been developed for relativistic electron beams in plasmas

- Previous kinetic instability analysis has been restricted to real transverse wave vectors.
- Generalizing the dispersion relation to complex wave vectors allows investigation of spatial growth and absolute instability.
- Generalization to arbitrary wave-vector angles allows investigation of the relative importance of filamentation, two-stream, and mixed modes.
- Some preliminary results: absolute instability is restricted to larger transverse wavelengths; filamentation generally grows faster than two-stream or mixed instabilities.