Simulation of Plasma Wakefields and Weibel Instability of Electron Beams in Plasma Using Codes LSP and OSIRIS



A. Solodov, C. Ren, J. Myatt, and R. Betti University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics W. B. Mori, UCLA 47th Annual Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics Denver, CO 24–28 October 2005 Summary

We benchmarked two PIC codes—LSP and OSIRIS simulating two physical problems

- Excitation of plasma wakefields by electron beams
 - Both codes correctly simulate the plasma wakefield excitation provided a sufficient spatial and temporal resolution.
 - LSP correctly simulates the collisional plasma wave damping.
- Weibel instability of electron beams in plasma
 - Both codes simulate qualitatively similar the Weibel instability.
 The most agreement is found for the OSIRIS and LSP particle mode.
 - The degree of plasma heating is different in particle and LSP hybrid simulations.
 - The total energy is not conserved in LSP hybrid simulations.



OSIRIS (developed at UCLA) Explicit PIC

Courant condition for the electromagnetic fields
 (Δt < Δx/c)

• Numerical heating if $\Delta x > 3\lambda_D$

LSP (product of MRC, Albuquerque) Explicit or implicit Particle (PIC) or fluid (hybrid PIC)

- Courant condition is unnecessary in the implicit mode; nonresolved temporary modes are damped, remaining limitation
 - $-\Delta t < \Delta x / v_{te}$
- Implicit PIC
 - numerical heating or cooling if $\Delta x > \lambda_D$
- Implicit hybrid PIC
 - no numerical heating or cooling

We simulate a linear plasma wakefield excited by a Gaussian electron beam in a plasma (2-D case)



• Electron beam with a maximum density $n_b = 0.1 n_p$, width $w = 0.5 k_p^{-1} (k_p = \omega_p/c)$, and velocity close to c

UR

Plasma temperature T_p = 51 eV

• Theory
$$\Rightarrow \delta$$
n/n₀ = 0.079*

LSP and OSIRIS correctly simulate the plasma wakefield provided a sufficient spatial and temporal resolution



The plasma wave collisional damping is simulated correctly in LSP (except for the Coulomb logarithm which should be corrected)



We have performed 2-D simulations of Weibel instability of an electron beam as a FI-relevant benchmarking problem



• Simulation parameters

-
$$n_p = 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$$
, $n_b = 0.1 n_p$, $\gamma \beta_b = 2.8$, $T_e = 5 \text{ keV}$
- $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.4 \text{ c}/\omega_p$
- $\Delta t_{min} = \Delta x/2c$

LSP and OSIRIS simulations with immobile ions show similar electron-beam density profiles



LSP and OSIRIS simulations with immobile ions show similar plasma-density profiles



LSP hybrid simulations show poor energy conservation



Implicit simulations with large time steps show more filaments and distortions at the late stage of Weibel instability: $\Delta t < \Delta x/v_e$ is not satisfied



LSP and OSIRIS simulations with mobile ions (H⁺) show qualitatively similar beam-density profiles



LSP hybrid simulations with mobile ions predict stronger plasma density compressions at the late stage of the instability



LSP hybrid simulations with mobile ions predict stronger ion-density compressions at the late stage of the instability



The energy is not conserved in LSP hybrid simulations

LSP particle LSP hybrid **OSIRIS** 8 6 E (J) 4 2 0 500 1000 1500 500 1000 1500 0 500 1000 0 0 1500 $\omega_{p}t$ $\omega_{p}t$ $\omega_{p}t$ **Total energy Beam electron energy** Magnetic field energy **Plasma electron energy**

Summary/Conclusions

We benchmarked two PIC codes—LSP and OSIRIS simulating two physical problems

UR

- Excitation of plasma wakefields by electron beams
 - Both codes correctly simulate the plasma wakefield excitation provided a sufficient spatial and temporal resolution.
 - LSP correctly simulates the collisional plasma wave damping.
- Weibel instability of electron beams in plasma
 - Both codes simulate qualitatively similar the Weibel instability. The most agreement is found for the OSIRIS and LSP particle mode.
 - LSP hybrid simulations with mobile ions show stronger density compressions at the late stage of Weibel instability than particle simulations.
 - The degree of plasma heating is different in particle and LSP hybrid simulations.
 - The total energy is not conserved in LSP hybrid simulations.
 - LSP implicit simulations with a time step exceeding the Courant limit show more filaments at the late stage of Weibel instability than simulations with a small time step.

Even better spatial and temporal resolution is necessary in the LSP fluid mode to overcome numerical damping if the particle momenta are well averaged on the grid

LLE

• Larger values of the averaging parameter have a stabilizing effect on grid noise and are recommended in documentation.



LSP hybrid simulations show poor energy conservation

