Using TART to design the neutron shield for the Magnetic Recoil Spectrometer (MRS) at OMEGA

D.T. Casey, J.A. Frenje, S. Volkmer, C.K. Li, J.R. Rygg, F.H. Séguin and R.D. Petrasso^{a)}

Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

V.Yu. Glebov, D.D. Meyerhofer^{b)}, T.C. Sangster and C. Stoeckl Laboratory for Laser Energetics, University of Rochester

> S. Haan and S. Hatchett Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

^{a)} Visiting Senior Scientist at LLE

^{b)} Dept. of Mech Eng, Phys and Astronomy

American Physical Society 47th Annual Meeting of the Division of Plasma Physics

Denver, Co October 24-28th, 2005

1



Abstract

A Magnetic Recoil Spectrometer (MRS) is currently being developed, at both OMEGA and the NIF, for measurements of down-scattered neutrons from which ρR of cryogenic DT implosions can be inferred [J. A Frenje et al., Q03.00010, and J. A Frenje et al., Rev. Sci Instrum 72, 854 (2001)]. As is the case for complementary methods to measure ρR [C. K. Li *et al*, Phys. Plasmas 8, 4902 (2001)], minimizing the effect of the background is critical for its successful implementation.

CR-39 detectors will be used in the MRS, which places a set of requirements on the MRS shielding design. Our initial calculated minimum S/B of 20, folded with CR-39 neutron response, determined the tolerable background neutron fluence. The Monte Carlo code TART code is being used to calculate the transport of neutrons through the structures inside the OMEGA target bay, and in the shielding surrounding the MRS. The NIF MRS shielding design will be developed using the same method and tools. This poster presents the current status of this project. This work was supported in part by LLE, LLNL, the U.S. DoE, the Univ. of Rochester, and the N.Y.State Energy Research and Development Authority.

Magnetic Recoil Spectrometer (MRS) Principle



J. A. Frenje et al., Q03.00010

J. A. Frenje et al., NIF Ignition Diagnostics Workshop, Livermore, June 16, 2005

J. A. Frenje et al., Rev. Sci Instrum 72, 854 (2001).

(a) Detection Efficiency

• The detection efficiency (\mathcal{E}_{MRS})

$$\varepsilon_{MRS} = \frac{\Omega_n}{4\pi} \cdot n_i \cdot t \int^{\Omega_r} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{lab}} d\Omega$$



- Maximum differential cross section at forward scattering angles and focusing, which allows for a larger aperture, both significantly enhance ε_{MRS}.
- Absolute yields will be measured since Ω_n , n_i , t, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, and Ω_r are known.

(b) Resolution (ΔE_l)

• Resolution (ΔE_l) is defined as:

$$\Delta E_{I} \approx \sqrt{\Delta E_{f}^{2} + \Delta E_{k}^{2} + \Delta E_{m}^{2}}$$

 ΔE_f = Energy loss in foil ∞ foil thickness ΔE_k = Kinematic energy broadening ∞ foil and aperture sizes ΔE_m = Optical energy broadening ∞ magnet performance

Motivation for the MRS at OMEGA and the NIF

- Measure absolutely the neutron energy spectrum of cryo DT implosions in the range of 6-32 MeV
- Infer ρR from the down-scattered neutron spectrum (6-10 MeV)
- Measure absolute neutron yield
- Determine fuel ion temperature from Doppler broadened primary neutron spectrum
- Infer ρR from the tertiary neutron spectrum (20-32 MeV) (NIF)

Minimizing the neutron background is critical for the successful implementation of the MRS at OMEGA and NIF

The MRS designs at OMEGA and the NIF were driven by LASNEX simulations



MRS on OMEGA



The required S/B > 10 for OMEGA defines the tolerable neutron fluence at the MRS detector



For a ρR ~100 mg/cm², neutron fluence needs to be reduced ~750 times

^{*} ε_{CR-39} can be reduced further by selecting a certain track diameter range.

^{**} J. A Frenje et al., Q03.00010

Neutron fluence at the MRS detector was determined using the neutron transport code TART

The OMEGA MRS will be positioned at the P-10 Port on OMEGA



Looking down from ceiling of the target bay

Benchmarking TART Neutron Transport Calculations with Experimental Data

Neutron fluence measurements made at different locations in the OMEGA target bay, were used to benchmark the TART calculation

Ground Floor Map:



Center Deck Map:



Upper Deck Map:



Nine high-yield DT shots on July 27th 2004 producing a total neutron yield of 5.2E14 were used

TART calculations agree with experimental data

The TART MRS model was used to calculate the neutron fluence at different distances from the target chamber center and then compared to experimental CR-39 fluence measurements.

Further benchmarking is going to be performed around the P-10 port where the MRS will be positioned.



Calculated neutron fluence at the unshielded MRS detector



Background is dominated by neutrons directly from the source.

Total neutron background at MRS detector ~1 x 10⁻⁶ n/cm²62% per produced source neutron.



Polyethylene was selected for shielding

Polyethylene is ~2.3 times more effective than concrete and 2.4 time less dense. 1×10⁻⁶ Neutron fluence / (MeV produced Neutron Mean Free Path for Shield Materials Neutron fluence at MRS detector at OMEGA Polvethylene 12 Path [cm] Concrete neutron) [#/cm²] Copper 8 5×10⁻⁷ **Mean Free** 0 12 3 0 15 6 9 n **Neutron Energy [MeV]** 14 12 16 2 8 10 'n Energy [MeV]

OMEGA MRS shielding point design



Calculations show shielding point design will reduce neutron fluence by ~50 times

Primary neutron signal has been significantly reduced. Now neutrons scattering off walls and scattering off magnet dominate the signal. Because of space limitations no more shielding can be added.







Impact of shielding parameters on total neutron fluence



Point design installation considerations





Image of MRS at the OMEGA P-10 port

The coincidence counting technique (CCT)* combined with the MRS shielding point design increases S/B >> 10



These results indicate that the MRS shielding point design can be reduced

** J. A Frenje et al., Q03.00010

^{*} S. Volkmer, FP1.00007

Conclusions and future work

- Shielding alone cannot reduce the neutron fluence to the required level for down-scattered neutron measurements. (The shielding point design reduces the background by ~50 times.)
- In combination with coincidence counting, the S/B will be increased well beyond the required level. (S. Volkmer, FP1.00007). Calculations show that the shielding could be reduced below the point design while maintaining the S/B > 10.
- Current shielding design probably needs to be slightly modified to fit in available space after the restrictions are clearly identified.