Direct-Drive Cryogenic Target Implosion Performance on OMEGA

Shot 28900	Shot 26477
Experimental (α ~25) YOC	Experimental (α ~25) YOC
Yield (1n): 1.27×10^{11} 96%	Yield (1n): 3.17×10 ¹⁰ 31%
TCC offset: 14±7 μm	85 μ m

T. Craig Sangster University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics 44th Annual Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics Orlando, FL 11–15 November 2002

Collaborators

J.	A. Delettrez
R.	Epstein
V.	Yu. Glebov
V.	N. Goncharov
D.	R. Harding
D.	Jacobs-Perkins
R.	L. Keck

J. D. Kilkenny J. P. Knauer S. J. Loucks L. D. Lund P. B. Radha R. L. McCrory S. Roberts P. W. McKenty W. Seka F. J. Marshall

D. D. Meyerhofer S. F. B. Morse S. P. Regan

S. Skupsky

V. A. Smalyuk

C. Sorce

- J. M. Soures
- C. Stoeckl

K. Thorp

Laboratory for Laser Energetics, University of Rochester

J. A. Frenje, C. K. Li, R. D. Petrasso, and F. H. Séguin Plasma Sciences and Fusion Center, MIT

K. A. Fletcher, S. Padalino, and C. Freeman State University of New York, Geneseo

N. Izumi, J. A. Koch, R. A. Lerche, M. J. Moran, T. W. Phillips, and G. J. Schmid Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Summary

High-performance, direct-drive cryogenic D₂ implosions are becoming routine on the LLE OMEGA laser

• Near-1D performance has been measured for high-adiabat ($\alpha \sim$ 25) drives.

- Performance for low-adiabat $(\alpha$ ~4) pulses is very close to 2-D DRACO predictions.
- The cryogenic $<\rho R>$ is approximately two times higher for low-adiabat $(\alpha \sim 4)$ than for high-adiabat $(\alpha \sim 25)$ pulses.
- Performance improves significantly with increasing ice smoothness.
- Layering and characterization of cryogenic capsules are now routine; layer quality can be preserved well below the triple point.

OMEGA cryogenic targets are energy scaled from the NIF direct-drive point design



A stability analysis* of the α = 4 design defines the ignition scaling performance window for cryogenic implosions

• The NIF gain* and OMEGA yield can be related by

$$\overline{\sigma}^2 = 0.06 \ \sigma_{\ell < 10}^2 + \sigma_{\ell \ge 10}^2$$

where the σ_{ℓ} are the rms amplitudes at the end of the acceleration phase.



Operational issues have been identified largely through analysis of implosion performance

- Target fabrication and filling
- Layering and characterization
- Moving cryostat reliability (MCTC)
- Layer stability prior to the shot (V. Yu. Glebov, GO2.012)
- Target alignment (J. M. Soures, GO2.005, and P. W. McKenty, GO2.008)
- Beam pointing and power balance (F. J. Marshall, GO2.007)
- Pulse shaping (V. N. Goncharov, RI1.004)

Cryogenic implosions to date (July 01 to October 02):

- 1-ns square (16 shots)
- α~4 (9 shots)

25

• α~4 with picket (December/January)

The Cryogenic Target Fabrication Group routinely delivers well-layered and fully characterized capsules



Analysis of PHC images showed a 60- to 120- μ m systematic offset from TCC at the start of the laser pulse



The offset is computed as the average of up to five PHC views on each shot, reducing the errors significantly.

Misplacing a capsule from TCC introduces a large peak-to-valley intensity variation on the capsule surface



Deliberate TCC offsets have a significant impact on implosion performance using D₂-filled CH capsules



Warm surrogate implosions showed that a systematic offset from TCC would likely explain the cryogenic implosion performance.

Individual cryo ρ R measurements show the expected correlation with angle relative to the capsule offset



Density contours at peak burn from 2-D DRACO for a capsule offset by 50 μ m with 1- μ m-rms ice.



This "geometric" analysis gives us confidence that we can accurately measure the capsule offset and understand the resulting performance.

The primary neutron YOC shows a strong correlation with capsule TCC offset and D₂-ice-layer rms



Capsule performance with a low-adiabat drive pulse is more sensitive to ice roughness and target alignment than with the 1-ns (α ~25) pulse.

A comparison of shots 28900 and 26477 clearly shows the result of accurate TCC alignment (with α ~ 25)

Shot 28900	Drive pu	lse: 1ns (α ~ 25)	Shot 26477	
Experimenta	al	Clean 1-D (%)	Experimental	Clean 1-D (%)
Yield (1n):	$\textbf{1.27}\times\textbf{10^{11}}$	96	$3.17 imes 10^{10}$	31
Yield (2n):	1.17 × 10 ⁹	84	$3.05 imes10^8$	32
Yield (2p):	2.03 × 10 ⁸	112	$2.67 imes \mathbf{10^7}$	21
$\langle \rho \mathbf{R} \rangle$:	61 mg/cm ²	133	30 mg/cm ²	80
T _{ion} :	3.6 keV	157	2.6 keV	117
Y_{2n}/Y_{1n} :	0.0092	85	0.0096	102
Y_{2p}/Y_{1n} :	0.0016	114	0.00084	66
TCC offset:	14 ± 7 μ m		85- μ m	

$\rho \textbf{R}$ asymmetry shows a strong correlation with capsule TCC offset but no correlation to ice smoothness

 α ~25 (1-ns square) **α~4** 1.5 pR asymmetry (spread/ave) 1.0 0.5 0.0 10 5 15 20 50 100 0 150 0 **D₂ ice/capsule rms** (µm) **Capsule offset from TCC** (µm) The $<\rho R>$ shows a strong correlation with capsule TCC offset but only low α shows a correlation with ice RMS



The secondary-to-primary neutron ratio is generally higher for the low-adiabat implosions

JR



Many experimental signatures indicate a compromised ice layer



$$\label{eq:tion} \begin{split} \langle T_{ion} \rangle \mbox{ for obvious layer deterioration: 4.4 keV} \\ \langle T_{ion} \rangle \mbox{ for obvious "intact" layers: 2.7 keV} \end{split}$$

The Cryo Target Characterization Diagnostic (CTCD) will provide a single view for a shadowgraphic layer characterization at TCC within 50 ms of the shot.



2-D DRACO accurately predicts the cold fuel areal density in shot 28900 ($\alpha \sim$ 25)



2-D DRACO predicts 9% of the 1-D yield for shot 28969 $(\alpha \sim 4)$ while the experimental measurement is 11%



The imploded cores show perturbations from residual low-mode power imbalance and ice-layer roughness



Shot 28900, 1 ns (α ~25), 23.3 kJ, offset = 17±7 μ m

Shot 28969, α = 4 pulse, 16.6 kJ, offset = 11±19 µm

Scaled ignition performance with cryogenic implosions on OMEGA is within reach

Improved ice-layer quality: 1 μm rms

- Feedback on IR power delivered to the layering sphere
- Blast damage to layering sphere
- Vibration mitigation
- MCTC reliability (4× carts)

Improved laser system uniformity: <1% rms

- Target alignment to <10 μ m (1% diam)
- Beam pointing (Marshall GO2.007)
- Power balance
- Beam shape (new DPP's, summer '03)

New diagnostics:

- Stepped wedged range filters (MIT): 4- to 18-MeV proton spectroscopy to measure ρR up to ~250 mg/cm^2
- HSRHOR (LLNL): absolute multispectral absorption spectroscopy to infer hot-spot electron temperature and density
- SHIMG (LLE): differential shell imaging to infer shell areal density modulations



Layering sphere

E12024

The initial ice-layer rms at the triple point can be recovered after cooling 1.8 K



- rms ice roughness at discrete temperatures as target is cooled below the triple point.
- After annealing overnight (17 h), the power spectrum approached the original smoothness.

While layering is performed at the triple point, implosion performance is optimal at a temperature ~ 1.8 K colder.

Summary/Conclusions

High-performance, direct-drive cryogenic D₂ implosions are becoming routine on LLE's OMEGA laser

- Near-1D performance has been measured for high-adiabat ($\alpha \sim$ 25) drives.
- Performance for low-adiabat $(\alpha$ ~4) pulses is very close to 2-D DRACO predictions.
- The cryogenic $<\rho R>$ is approximately two times higher for low-adiabat $(\alpha \sim 4)$ than for high-adiabat $(\alpha \sim 25)$ pulses.
- Performance improves significantly with increasing ice smoothness.
- Layering and characterization of cryogenic capsules are now routine; layer quality can be preserved well below the triple point.
- Layering studies with DT and foam shells should begin within a year.
- Implosions with picket pulses will begin soon (December/January).