#### Investigation of OMEGA Capsule Dynamics Using Shock Flash Measurements



J. Ryan Rygg et al. MIT - PSFC 44<sup>th</sup> American Physical Society DPP Meeting Orlando, FL, Nov 11-15, 2002

#### **Collaborators**

#### F.H. Séguin, J.A. Frenje, S. Kurebayashi, C.K. Li, R.D. Petrasso\*, and B.E. Schwartz

Plasma Science and Fusion Center Massachusetts Institute of Technology

#### J.A. Delettrez, J.M. Soures, V.Yu Glebov, V.N. Goncharov, J. P. Knauer, D.D. Meyerhofer, P.B. Radha, T.C. Sangster and C. Stoeckl

Laboratory for Laser Energetics University of Rochester

N. Hoffman and D. Wilson

Los Alamos National Laboratory

\*Visiting Scientist, LLE



J. Ryan Rygg et al. MIT - PSFC 44<sup>th</sup> American Physical Society DPP Meeting Orlando, FL, Nov 11-15, 2002

#### Outline

- Charged particle measurements on OMEGA
- Shock and compression components of D-<sup>3</sup>He proton spectrum
- Effect of capsule parameters on timing and yield
- Temperature inferred from shock measurements
- Sources of shock spectral line broadening



# Measurements of charged particles provide spectral\*, spatial and temporal information



\*F.H. Seguin et al, Rev. Sci. Instr. (to be published)



## Measurements of charged particles provide spectral, spatial and temporal information





#### Spectra of D<sup>3</sup>He protons are routinely measured on OMEGA



$$D + {}^{3}He \Rightarrow \alpha(3.6) + p(14.7)$$



## D<sup>3</sup>He proton spectra can be divided into shock\* and compression components



\*R.D. Petrasso et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published)



### Shock and compression components occur at different times



## 1D simulations predict a smaller interval between shock and bang time for thinner shells





### Shock and compression components are strongly dependent on capsule parameters





## Shock and compression yields compared to 1D simulations





### Ion temperature can be estimated assuming line width comes from doppler broadening



If  $\sigma^{2}_{other}$  is neglected, derived shock temperature is:

<**T**<sub>i</sub>> <sub>width</sub> ≈ 9.8 keV



## Ion temperature can be estimated using yields of different nuclear reactions





### Ion temperature can be estimated using yields of different nuclear reactions



#### **Comparison of temperatures derived from different methods**





#### Broadening due to high mode ρR modulations during the shock flash



Broadening from high mode ρR variations at shock time

Temp difference constrains high mode amplitude < 40%

> <T<sub>i</sub>> <sub>ratio</sub> ≈ 6.6 keV <T<sub>i</sub>> <sub>width</sub> ≈ 9.8 keV



## Broadening due to geometrical effects from a spatially extended source



Broadening from geometry effects due to spatial extent of source region

PCIS can directly measure the extent of the source region

For more on PCIS:

R. D. Petrasso et al.	GO2.015
B. E. Schwartz <i>et al</i> .	KP1.147



## Temporal broadening due to pR evolution over finite interval of the shock flash



1D simulated proton rate and  $\rho R$ 

Broadening from ρR evolution during shock flash interval

#### Development of PTD will enable measurement of shock width

**1D simulation anticipates that:** 

Over ~150 ps shock interval, ρR evolves from ~10 to ~17 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>



#### Summary

- pR and yield at shock coalescence and bang time are studied using DD proton and D-<sup>3</sup>He proton spectra.
- Shock and compression yields are reduced for targets with thicker shells.
- Charged particles can be used to study capsule conditions during shock coalescence, including
  - Ion temperature
  - High mode (*ε*~50) ρR modulations
  - Spatial extent
  - Temporal evolution



#### Future work

- Develop Proton Temporal Diagnostic (PTD) and use to directly measure the timing and width of shock flash and compression burn
- Quantify sources of shock spectral line broadening in order to investigate possible high mode (*ℓ*~50) pR variations at shock time
- Investigate possible low mode (*2*~2) pR structure growth between shock and bang time

