Assessment of Radiation Trapping in Inertial Confinement Fusion Implosion Experiments with High-Z–Lined, Single-Shell Targets



R. Epstein University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics 50th Anomalous Absorption Conference Skytop, PA 5–10 June 2022



Summary

Radiation trapping by an ICF pusher layer is being explored for new platforms for radiation transport and volume-ignition-related experiments

- Radiation trapping is most apparent in simulations through a characteristic Marshak waveform, where radiation and electron temperatures are equal ($T_R = T_e$), indicating the LTE atomic-radiative limit
- The Marshak wave model describes radiation trapping in pusher layers in terms of useful characteristic quantities
- The self-similar form and characteristic parameters of the Marshak wave model are obtained in cylindrical and spherical geometries
- The classic Marshak wave extends to a uniformly compressing pusher layer, preserving its self-similar analytic form with modified time scaling and modified parameter values

Volume-ignition capsule designs rely on radiation trapping.

ICF: inertial confinement fusion LTE: local thermodynamic equilibrium



Collaborators



V. N. Goncharov, S. X. Hu, D. Cao, A. Shvydky, P. W. McKenty, G. W. Collins, and D. Haberberger

> University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics



The Marshak wave is based on a simple balance of electron thermal energy and radiative heating near local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE)

Begin with radiation spectral energy density: $\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\phi_{\nu}}{\partial t} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\nu}}{c} - \kappa_{\nu}\phi_{\nu} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{3\kappa_{\nu}}\frac{\partial\phi_{\nu}}{\partial x}\right)$ and thermal energy: $C_{\nu}\frac{\partial T_{e}}{\partial t} = \int \left(-\frac{\varepsilon_{\nu}}{c} + \kappa_{\nu}\phi_{\nu}\right) d\nu$ **Assume quasi-static radiation:** $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{\nu}}{\partial t} \approx 0$ **Define the radiation temperature:** $E_R = \frac{4\sigma_{SB}}{c} T_R^4 = \int \varphi_v dv$ Assume detailed balance: $\varepsilon_v \approx 4\pi\kappa_v B_v (T_e)$ and LTE: $\varphi_v \approx \frac{4\pi}{c} B_v (T_e)$ which gives: $\sigma_{SB}T_e^4 = \pi \int B_v(T_e) dv$ or $T_B \approx T_e \equiv T$

Obtain the wave equation:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{V}} \frac{\partial \mathsf{T}}{\partial \mathsf{t}} \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathsf{x}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{c}}{3\kappa_{\mathsf{R}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathsf{x}} \left(\frac{4\sigma_{\mathsf{SB}}}{\mathsf{c}} \mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{4}} \right) \right)$$

Define the Rosseland1mean opacity $\kappa_{\rm R}$: $\frac{1}{\kappa_{\rm p}}$

$$\int \frac{\partial \mathsf{B}_{v}(\mathsf{T})}{\partial \mathsf{T}} \mathsf{d}v \equiv \int \frac{1}{\kappa_{v}} \frac{\partial \mathsf{B}_{v}(\mathsf{T})}{\partial \mathsf{T}} \mathsf{d}v$$

The appearance of LTE, e.g., $T_e = T_R$, is a sign of "trapped" radiation.



The constant-density planar Marshak wave problem has a self-similar temperature profile solution



The "constant-flux" approximation is accurate and gives a useful expression for pretty much every quantity of interest.



The Marshak wave model yields several useful characteristic quantities, particularly $t_{\tau=1}$, the formation time of a one-optical-thickness wave

• Optical thickness time scale: the time of formation of a τ_R = 1 trapping layer is the key time scale

$$\mathbf{E}_{\tau=1} = \frac{3}{2(n+4)(1+q)\xi_0^2} \frac{C_0 \rho}{\sigma_{\rm SB} T_0^3 \kappa_0} = \frac{6}{(n+4)\xi_0^2} \frac{E_{\rm Th}}{E_{\rm R}} \frac{1}{\kappa_0 c} \qquad \mathbf{E}_{\rm R} = \frac{4\sigma_{\rm SB} T_0^4}{c} \qquad \mathbf{E}_{\rm th} = \frac{C_0 \rho T_0}{1+q}$$

• The trapped flux F_R and the trapped energy E_R vary on this time scale

$$F_{R} \approx \frac{cE_{R}}{3} (t_{\tau=1} / t)^{1/2} \qquad E_{R} \approx 2F_{R} t \approx \frac{2cE_{R}}{3} (t_{\tau=1} t)^{1/2}$$

• The wavefront, defined as $\xi = \xi_0$, decelerates

$$A^{2} = \frac{3(n+4)}{32(1+q)} \frac{\kappa_{0}C_{0}\rho}{\sigma_{SB}T_{0}^{3}} = \frac{3(n+4)}{8} \frac{\kappa_{0}}{c} \frac{E_{th}}{E_{R}} \qquad x_{0}(t) = \xi_{0} \frac{t^{1/2}}{A} = \xi_{0} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3(n+4)}} \sqrt{\frac{c}{\kappa_{0}}} \frac{E_{R}}{E_{th}} t^{1/2} = \frac{4}{(n+4)\kappa_{0}} \left(\frac{t}{t_{\tau=1}}\right)$$
$$\xi_{0}^{2} \approx \frac{5+n+q}{4+n}$$



1/2

UR IIF

Pure-CH OMEGA-scale imploded shells do not trap radiation



- High-yield shot 90288
- Radiation source r < 22 μm
- Near-free escape of radiation through the DT shell

A 6- μ m Cu inner pusher layer traps radiation through bang time as seen in a *LILAC* simulation where $T_R = T_e$ indicates optically thick LTE



 $T_{\rm R}$ = $T_{\rm e}$ indicates LTE and a Planck spectrum, key assumptions underlying the Marshak wave model.



Marshak wave " τ = 1" formation times for Cu are short relative to the pusher hydro time, but far too long for a pure-CH shell



The " τ = 1" formation time is a parameter that anticipates the effectiveness of radiation trapping in an imploding pusher layer.



Marshak waves have nearly identical wavefronts in planar, cylindrical, and spherical geometries, but very different $g(\xi) = T/T_0$ profiles



- In cylindrical and spherical geometry, T_0 is not a good fuel/pusher boundary condition parameter; it is fixed at a definite point in ξ , but not in space or time
- A better boundary condition is the total radiated power at or near $\xi = 0$



Marshak waves have the same trajectory and flux trapping parameter in all three geometries, all expressed in terms of the optical thickness formation time t_r





The Marshak wavefront has nearly identical trajectories and optical thicknesses in planar, cylindrical, and spherical geometries





The Marshak wave* is a self-similar solution to the Euler fluid energy equation including thermal radiation transport and adiabatic compression

Energy equation:
$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{DE}{Dt} - \frac{P}{\rho^{2}}\frac{D\rho}{Dt} - \frac{\partial}{\partial m}\left(\frac{4}{3\kappa}\frac{\partial}{\partial m}(\sigma_{sB}T^{4})\right) = 0$$
Properties of matter**: $\kappa = \kappa_{0}\left(\rho/\rho_{0}\right)^{r}\left(T/T_{0}\right)^{-n} C_{v} = C_{0}\left(\rho/\rho_{0}\right)^{s}\left(T/T_{0}\right)^{q} P = (\gamma - 1)\rho E$
New compression
Uniform adiabatic compression: $\rho(t) = \rho_{0}\left(t/t_{0}\right)^{\alpha}$
New parameters t_{0} and α
Marshak wave: $T(m,t) = T_{0}g(m,t)h(t)$
 $h(t) = \left(\rho(t)/\rho_{0}\right)^{\gamma-t-s}$
 $\xi \frac{dg(\xi)^{q+1}}{d\xi} - \frac{d^{2}}{d\xi^{2}}g(\xi)^{n+4} = 0$
 $0 \le \xi \le \xi_{0}$
 $g(0) = 1$
 $g(\xi_{0}) = 0$
Self-similar space-time m,t
 $\xi = (1+v)^{v_{2}}A\frac{m}{t^{v_{2}}}\left(\frac{t_{0}}{t}\right)^{v'^{2}}$
 $A^{2} = \frac{3(4+n)}{32(1+q)}\frac{\kappa_{0}C_{0}T_{0}}{\sigma_{sB}T_{0}^{4}}$
 $v = \alpha\left(\frac{(\gamma - 1)(3+n-q)}{(q+1)} - s-r\right)$
Wave front position $m_{0}(t)$ at $\xi = \xi_{0}$: $m_{0}(t) = \frac{\xi_{0}}{(1+v)^{v_{2}}}\frac{t^{v_{2}}}{A}\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right)^{v'^{2}}$

The Marshak wave profile and trajectory are described approximately but completely.

* R. E. Marshak, Phys. Fluids <u>1</u>, 24 (1958).
 ** A. P. Cohen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Research <u>2</u>, 023007 (2020).



The Marshak wave model yields several useful characteristic quantities

• Optical thickness time scale: The time of formation of a τ_R = 1 trapping layer is the key time scale

$$\mathbf{t}_{\tau=1} = \left[\frac{6(1+\nu)}{\xi_0^2(4+n)} \frac{E_{\text{th}}}{E_{\text{R}}} \frac{1}{c\kappa_0} \mathbf{t}_0^{\alpha\psi}\right]^{1/(1+\alpha\psi)} \qquad E_{\text{R}} = \frac{4\sigma_{\text{SB}}T_0^4}{c} \qquad E_{\text{th}} = \frac{C_0T_0}{1+q} \qquad \psi = \frac{(\gamma-1-s)(3-n-q)}{(1+q)} + r-s$$

• The trapped flux varies on this time scale more slowly:

$$F_{R}(t) \approx \frac{cE_{R}}{3} \left(t_{\tau=1}/t \right)^{1/2} \left(t/t_{0} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \left[\frac{(\gamma-1)(5+n+q)}{(1+q)} - r - s\frac{(4+n)}{(1+q)} \right]} \left(t_{\tau=1}/t_{0} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \left[\frac{(\gamma-1)(3-n-q)}{(1+q)} + r - s\frac{(4-n)}{(1+q)} \right]}$$

• Again, compression sets the time scale t_0 and the power-law index α

$$\rho(t) = \rho_0 \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\alpha} \qquad \nu = \alpha \left(\frac{(\gamma - 1)(3 + n - q)}{(1 + q)} - s - r\right)$$

• Optical thickness grows faster:

$$\tau(t) = (t/t_{\tau=1})^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left[\frac{(\gamma - 1 - s)(3 - n - q)}{(1 + q)} + 2n\right]}$$



Radiation trapping by an ICF pusher layer can be characterized in terms of a Marshak wave model



- Radiation trapping is most apparent in simulations through a characteristic Marshak waveform, where radiation and electron temperatures are equal ($T_R = T_e$), indicating the LTE atomic-radiative limit
- The Marshak wave model describes radiation trapping in pusher layers in terms of useful characteristic quantities
- The self-similar form and characteristic parameters of the Marshak wave model are obtained in cylindrical and spherical geometries
- The classic Marshak wave extends to a uniformly compressing pusher layer, preserving its self-similar analytic form with modified time scaling and modified parameter values

Volume-ignition capsule designs rely on radiation trapping.



Rosseland mean opacity and specific heat for Cu is obtained from PrOpacEOS* and fit with an expression suitable for the Marshak wave model



Fit: $C_V = 1.33 \times 10^4 \times (T/eV)^{1/3} (J/g/eV)$

 $r \neq 0$ and $s \neq 0$ anticipates time-varying ρ

Fit: $\kappa_R = 5.0 \times 10^7 \times (T/eV)^{-2} (cm^2/g)$

* PrOpacEOS: Prism Computational Sciences, Inc.

