## Controlling the Divergence of Laser-Generated Fast Electrons Through Resistivity Gradients in Fast-Ignition Targets



A. A. Solodov University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics 41st Annual Anomalous Absorption Conference San Diego, CA 19–24 June 2011

#### Summary

FSC

# Divergence of high-energy electron beams can be controlled through resistivity mismatch in fast-ignition targets\*

- LSP\*\* simulations predict collimation of high-energy electron beams by resistivity gradients
- Four cases have been modeled
  - Cu cone
  - Al cone with Cu insert in the cone tip
  - Al cone with a Cu wire attached to the cone tip most effective
  - Cu-lined diamond cone

Collimation by resistivity gradients increases the coupling to the core.

<sup>\*</sup>A. P. L. Robinson and M. Sherlock, Phys. Plasmas <u>14</u>, 083105 (2007).

<sup>\*\*</sup>D. R. Welch et al., Phys. Plasmas <u>13</u>, 063105 (2006).





R. Betti, K. S. Anderson, J. F. Myatt, W. Theobald, and C. Stoeckl

University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics and Fusion Science Center

# Self-generated resistive magnetic fields can control divergence of electron beams in plasmas\*



 Electron collimation by B fields generated by resistivity gradients\*



### A thin Cu fiber embedded in AI effectively collimates a highly divergent 15-kJ electron beam in the LSP simulation FSE

• Simulation for a 7-ps, 2-MeV mean-energy, 67° half-angle electron beam



• Even though  $\nabla \eta$  changed direction due to fiber heating, collimation is maintained because  $|\eta \nabla \times \vec{j}_h|$  becomes greater than  $|\nabla \eta \times \vec{j}_h|$ 

**Collimated electrons contain 65% of the beam energy.** 

# Electron transport in fast-ignition targets using materials with different resistivities has been modeled with LSP



- Electron beam:  $E_{\text{tot}}$  = 40 kJ,  $\tau$  = 10 ps,  $r_0$  = 20  $\mu$ m,  $T_{\text{hot}}$  = 1.6 MeV,  $\theta_{1/2}$  = 67°
- Ionization and radiative cooling are modeled
- Energy coupled to the "ignition region" is calculated and compared in the simulations

# Electrons are effectively collimated by resistivity gradients in the cone tip and in the wire

UR



Electron-beam density ( $cm^{-3} \times 10^{22}$ ) at the time of peak power



#### Hot-electron divergence is controlled by a resistive magnetic field FSC



LL



# **Resistive collimation significantly improves** electron coupling to the core



UR

Energy coupled to the "ignition region"					
2.7 kJ (7%)	4.5 kJ (11%)	18 kJ (45%)			

• Resistive collimation can be especially useful for targets with thick cone tips

# Hydrodynamic simulations are required to determine survivability of the wire during the implosion

- The wire is compressed radially and longitudinally during the implosion
- Asymmetric implosions may be advantageous
  - to protect the wire and the cone from the pressure build-up in the central hot spot
  - to facilitate ignition because of a larger fuel density and larger  $\rho {\it R}$  in front of the wire



### 1-D *LILAC*\* simulations of capsule implosion on a copper sphere and a copper cylinder predict the compressed copper properties



	Diameter (µm)	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Temperature (eV)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cu resistivity} \\ (\Omega \times \text{m} ) \end{array}$	DT resistivity $(\Omega \times m)$
Cu sphere	26	500	600	7 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>	10 <sup>_9</sup>
Cu cylinder	26	150	275	2 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	10 <sup>-8</sup>

- Simulations use a 200-kJ DT target design for direct-drive fast ignition\*\*
- Increased stopping power in a compressed copper may require using higher-energy electrons for ignition (2 to 5 MeV)

<sup>\*</sup>J. A. Delettrez et al., Phys. Rev. A <u>36</u>, 3926 (1987).

<sup>\*\*</sup>R. Betti and C. Zhou, Phys. Plasmas <u>12</u>, 110702 (2005).

# Electron collimation by a high-resistivity material at the inner-cone surface has been modeled

UR 🔌



- Diamond cone with Cu tip and Cu inner layer
- Cu pre-plasma:  $\rho$  = 0.02  $\rho_{\text{solid}}$  with 1.5- $\mu$ m exponential gradient length at the cone surface
- Electron beam:  $E_{\text{tot}} = 300 \text{ J}, \tau = 10 \text{ ps}, r_0 = 14 \ \mu\text{m}, T_{\text{hot}} = 1 \text{ MeV}, \theta_{1/2} = 67^{\circ}$

### Hot-electron collimation in a Cu-lined cone is not as effective as in the wire FSE



• Simulation results at *t* = 5 ps



Electrons collimated to the cone tip contain 17% of the beam energy.

# Divergence of high-energy electron beams can be controlled through resistivity mismatch in fast-ignition targets\*

- LSP\*\* simulations predict collimation of high-energy electron beams by resistivity gradients
- Four cases have been modeled
  - Cu cone
  - Al cone with Cu insert in the cone tip
  - Al cone with a Cu wire attached to the cone tip most effective
  - Cu-lined diamond cone

Collimation by resistivity gradients increases the coupling to the core.

<sup>\*</sup>A. P. L. Robinson and M. Sherlock, Phys. Plasmas <u>14</u>, 083105 (2007).

<sup>\*\*</sup>D. R. Welch et al., Phys. Plasmas <u>13</u>, 063105 (2006).