#### Determination of the Flux Limiter in CH Targets from Experiments on the OMEGA Laser

 $10^{20} = 10^{20} = 10^{19} = 0.065$   $10^{19} = 0.065$  2 = 3 = 4Time (ns)

J. A. Delettrez et al. University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics 31st Annual Anomalous Absorption Conference Sedona, AZ 3–8 June 2001

#### Contributors



C. Stoeckl S. P. Regan P. W. McKenty D. D. Meyerhofer J. P. Knauer

University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics

#### Summary

## Simulations of experiments on the OMEGA laser suggest slightly larger values than currently used for the flux limiter



- The timing and the level of both the shock yield and the onset of the compression yield are sensitive to the flux limiter.
- A flux limiter of 0.070 (sharp cutoff) gives general agreement with experiment for 1-ns square pulses and 20-µm CH shells.
- Differences for the 400-ps square pulse and the 28-µm CH shell imply that other factors affect target dynamics.

Conditions are right for improving parameters in the simulations of CH-shell targets using the neutron time-of-flight detector (NTD)

• The OMEGA laser provides reproducible pulse shapes and energy over the span of several months.

- NTD, along with the laser pulse shape diagnostics, provides the timing of neutron yield to within 50 ps.
- The timing of the shock yield and the onset of the compression yield are not affected by two-dimensional effects and mix.
- They can be used to refine simulation parameters, in particular the flux limiter.
- The previous method of determining the flux limiter from the trajectory of glass shells is not satisfactory because
  - CH shells are visible only during the peak of the pulse, and
  - the shell trajectory is not as sensitive to the flux limiter as the time of neutron production.
- Improved measurement of the absorption fraction is being developed.

### Shots during the same week give reproducible NTD results



<u>UR</u> LLF

### The neutron burn history shows details of the shock arrival and the stagnation phase of the implosion



### Two different zoning schemes are possible when using the hydrocode LILAC



### The size of the central zone affects mainly the shock yield for the 400-ps square pulse



# For a 1-ns square pulse and a 20- $\mu$ m shell, a flux limiter of 0.07 (sharp cutoff) gives the best agreement with experiment for timing of NTD



### For the 400-ps-pulse case, a flux limiter of 0.070 is not large enough to reproduce the experimental measurement





#### A flux limiter of 0.070 may be too large for 28-µm-thick shells



TC5654

# For a 20- $\mu$ m shell and 1-ns square pulse, increasing the flux limiter brings the absorption fraction into the experimental error range



#### Summary/Conclusion

## Simulations of experiments on the OMEGA laser suggest slightly larger values than currently used for the flux limiter



- The increased precision of the neutron time-of-flight (NTD) diagnostic and the reproducibility of laser shots permit a more precise determination of the flux limiter and central cell zoning.
- The timing and the level of both the shock yield and the onset of the compression yield are sensitive to the flux limiter.
- A flux limiter of 0.070 (sharp cutoff) gives general agreement with experiment for 1-ns square pulses and 20-μm CH shells.
- Differences for the 400-ps square pulse and the 28-µm CH shell imply that other factors affect target dynamics.
- Diagnostics are being developed to measure the flux-limiter in crogenic targets.