Emission Phases of Implosion X-Ray Sources for Absorption Spectroscopy
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At LLE’s Omega Laser Facility, thin plastic shells were directly driven with ~20 kJ, resulting in a time-integrated x-ray yield of ~10^{12} ph/eV/sr at 7 keV. Using temporally, spatially, and spectrally discriminating diagnostics, three x-ray emission phases were identified: corona emission produced by the laser ablation of the shell, core stagnation, and afterglow emission due to the expanding hot material after stagnation. The newly measured corona and afterglow emission phases account for ~25% of the total x-ray signal and produce x-ray emission at a different time or larger radius than previously considered. The resulting implications of this additional emission for x-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroscopy are discussed.

This work presents a comprehensive measurement of the temporal, spatial, and spectral x-ray emission of implosion GDP (glow-discharge polymerization) shells on the OMEGA Laser System and identifies three experimental x-ray emission phases consisting of the corona, core stagnation, and afterglow. During the corona phase, the laser illuminates the shell, producing x-ray emission and driving a shock through the shell, causing the material to release inward. When the remaining material reaches the center, it stagnates, reaching Gbar pressures and producing a bright x-ray flash. After stagnating, the remaining material decompresses at Gbar pressures, remaining hot enough to emit x rays for a brief period of time. A schematic of each x-ray emission phase is shown in Fig. 1. To characterize the different emission phases, x-ray emission models were verified using a hydrodynamic simulation and fit to experimental data. The details of each emission phase are shown in Table I.

Table I: Fraction of the total emission in each phase obtained by fitting the streaked spectrum. The fractions are shown along with the 68% credible interval, which was calculated from uncertainty in the fit along with the variability between shots. The time duration was also calculated from the fit and represents the time interval that contains 95% of the signal. Lastly, the diameter was estimated from the time-integrated and time-resolved imaging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Corona</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Afterglow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signal fraction</td>
<td>12^{+2}_{-3}</td>
<td>76^{+7}_{-6}</td>
<td>12^{+7}_{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time duration (ns)</td>
<td>0.2 to 1.1</td>
<td>1.0 to 1.1</td>
<td>1.1 to 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (μm)</td>
<td>~850</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>~300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The different spatial profiles of the x-ray sources directly impact the spectral resolution of the XAFS measurement. Using the Rowland (Yaakobi) x-ray spectrometer (XRS) as an example XAFS spectrometer, the impact of these three phases on the XAFS measurements can be estimated. An iron synchrotron absorption spectrum\textsuperscript{1} was assumed to be the spectrum for a point source. To simulate the XAFS spectrum for the different source sizes, the point-source absorption spectrum was convolved with a Gaussian
The x-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES) spectrum for each of the three phases along with the total signal is shown in Fig. 2(a). While the core spectrum is able to capture the majority of the features of the XANES spectrum, the corona and afterglow phases cannot. This is reflected in the total signal, which is not able to duplicate all of the features in the XANES spectrum. For example, the total spectrum loses the modulation, highlighted with the red arrow (in the inset plot), which can be used to distinguish structural changes and melting in iron. Furthermore, the slope of the total spectrum is decreased, which must be accounted for when extracting the electron temperature. Figure 2(b) shows the degraded extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra with each of the three phases and the total spectrum. Temperature can be extracted from the damping in the EXAFS modulations, and, under the harmonic approximation, this damping is given by $e^{-2k^2DWF}$, where DWF represents the Debye–Waller factor. Fitting the point source and total signal spectra with this harmonic EXAFS approximation, the total signal spectrum has a DWF that is 16\% larger. It should be noted that other spectrometers will have different spectral resolutions for each emission phase and will be impacted differently. Finally, these spectra represent a sample in a single thermodynamic state for the duration of the backlighter emission. If the material was not in a uniform thermodynamic state, each emission phase could potentially probe the material at a different density, temperature, or crystallographic structure resulting in shifting, amplifying, or decreasing XAFS modulations in unexpected ways.
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