Absolute and Convective Two-Plasmon Decay Driven by Multiple Laser Beams



R. W. Short, J. F. Myatt, J. Zhang, and W. Seka University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics 56th Annual Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics New Orleans, LA 27–31 October 2014



Multibeam two-plasmon decay (TPD) depends on the number, orientation, and polarization of the beams; in general it requires 3-D analysis

- With increasing angles of incidence, TPD convective gains increase and absolute thresholds decrease
- The location and magnitude of the spatial TPD gain and the onset of absolute instability is sensitive to the relative orientations and polarizations of the beams in 3-D
- The analysis presented here is linear; however, there is evidence that the absolute TPD it describes persists well into the nonlinear regime



The single-beam convective spatial gain increases with angle of incidence



- The *p*-polarized case is shown here; this is also true for the *s*-polarized case
- Consequence of longer effective scale length for oblique incidence
- For the same reason, the absolute threshold decreases at larger angles of incidence

ROCHESTER

TC11291

The nature of multibeam TPD is sensitive to the relative orientations and polarizations of the beams in 3-D



- On the left, the two pump-wave vectors and polarization vectors lie in the x-y plane; this can be treated as a 2-D problem
- On the right, the two pump-wave vectors lie in the x–z plane but the maximum gain is in the x–y plane; this requires 3-D analysis/simulation

ROCHESTER

TC11292

Larger angles between beams give rise to a new regime of small-*k* (potentially absolute) TPD



- At large angles the two "backward" branches of the hyperbolas nearly intersect, leading to enhanced gain
- This becomes the dominant form of the absolute instability



For a single beam, the absolute TPD threshold* is lower than the Rosenbluth convective threshold

• The Simon threshold (adjusted for s-polarized oblique incidence) is

$$\eta \equiv \frac{I_{14}L_{\mu}}{233T_{keV}\cos\theta} > 1$$

- The Rosenbluth convective gain is $G_R = \frac{2\pi\gamma_0^2}{\kappa' V_1 V_2} = \frac{I_{14}L_{\mu}}{53.6T_{keV}\cos\theta} \cong 4.35 \eta$
- The nominal convective threshold is $G_R > 2\pi$ or $\eta > \frac{2\pi}{4.35} \cong 1.44$
- Therefore, the absolute instability appears below the convective instability threshold; this, in general, remains true for multiple beams





TC10637a

The absolute threshold for TPD depends on the angle of incidence and polarization

UR



ROCHESTEI

TC10640k



As a result, the threshold is reduced by $\sim \cos^2 \theta$.





For two *p*-polarized beams, the gain regions separate with increasing angle, reducing synergy



• For two s-polarized beams the separation is much smaller



For two *p*-polarized beams, an on-axis absolute mode with $k_v = 0$ has the lowest threshold at larger angles



TC10643



At larger angles, the on-axis mode is closer to the hyperbolas than the off-axis modes





 $\theta = 40^{\circ}$

LL



The spectral signature of the absolute instability near $n_c/4$ is a sharp red-shifted feature that can be used for T_e measurements*



• Although the absolute instability is obtained from linear analysis, it can remain the most-intense TPD mode in the nonlinear regime, persisting throughout the pulse

*W. Seka et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>112</u>, 145001 (2014).



Summary/Conclusions

Multibeam two-plasmon decay (TPD) depends on the number, orientation, and polarization of the beams; in general it requires 3-D analysis

- With increasing angles of incidence, TPD convective gains increase and absolute thresholds decrease
- The location and magnitude of the spatial TPD gain and the onset of absolute instability is sensitive to the relative orientations and polarizations of the beams in 3-D
- The analysis presented here is linear; however, there is evidence that the absolute TPD it describes persists well into the nonlinear regime

