#### Comparison of the 2-D DRACO Cross-Beam Energy Transfer (CBET) Simulations with OMEGA and NIF Experiments



#### J. A. Marozas University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics

43rd Annual Anomalous Absorption Conference Stevenson, WA 7–12 July 2013

## DRACO provides self-consistent cross-beam energy transfer (CBET) simulations that agree with experiments

- CBET increases scattered light through stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) of outgoing rays that removes energy from incoming high-energy rays
- Nonlocal electron thermal transport model has been added to DRACO
- The 2-D hydrodynamics code DRACO employs feedback control to maintain energy balance with CBET
- CBET improves agreement of hydrocodes with experiment



T. J. B. Collins, J. A. Delettrez, P. B. Radha, P. W. McKenty, I. V. Igumenshchev, D. H. Edgell, D. H. Froula, M. Hohenberger, F. J. Marshall, D. T. Michel, and W. Seka

> University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics

A. J. MacKinnon, S. LePape, and T. Ma

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

D. Cao, A. Prochaska, J. Chenhall and G. Moses

**University of Wisconsin** 

## **CBET**<sup>\*</sup> occurs nearly uniformly over the entire target for OMEGA direct drive

- OMEGA direct drive offers a high amount of symmetry, which is reflected in the CBET gain power density (W/cm<sup>3</sup>)
- The CBET effect can be successfully mitigated by reducing the beam diameter\*\*



#### CBET modeling in the 2-D hydrodynamics code DRACO employs an angular spectrum representation (ASR) approach with feedback control

- ASR captures the relevant intensity and direction information from all the beams that propagate through any cell
  - Feedback through a PID-controller (proportional-integral-differential) loop provides vital control over CBET energy balance
    - left uncontrolled, CBET equations do not conserve energy;
      e.g., they lack energy depletion
    - feedback minimizes energy imbalance through a controlled PID-loop by temporarily adjusting the ASR until the adjustment reaches zero
  - The ASR from the previous time step is used to increase convergence by providing an estimate of the current time step's ASR

# The polar-drive (PD) illumination scheme drives a target using the NIF x-ray-drive ports



#### A 40-beam subset of the 60-beam OMEGA laser emulates the NIF x-ray-drive configuration



### OMEGA PD shot 64099 simulations predict the increased scattered light around the poles of the chamber

- OMEGA shot 64099 employed a set of calorimeters around the chamber to measure the theta dependence of scattered light
- A DRACO simulation of shot 64099 reproduces the measured data with CBET; flux limiters of 6% and 10% bracket the data



### The NIF N130129 PD shot was used to commission neutron diagnostics

- N130129 is a 50-kJ, 1540- $\mu$ m-diam target Peak  $I = 5.4 \times 10^{14}$  w/cm<sup>2</sup>
- Beams were refocused and repointed to improve implosion symmetry

UR

• The GXD-3 framing camera shows a distinctive square shape



The blue curves are the fits to the peak emission. Images are 1500  $\mu$ m imes 1500  $\mu$ m.

# The Schurtz-Nicolaï-Busquet (SNB) non-local model solves for the thermal flux using multi-group diffusion\*

- The model is based on the linear steady state transport equations with appropriate diffusion mean-free-paths
- The source at each point is defined as the multi-group Spitzer flux

$$U_{g} = \frac{1}{24} \int_{E_{g-1}/kT}^{E_{g}/kT} d\beta \overline{Q}_{sh}$$

• This is used in conjunction with the group parameter  $H_g$ , which is the solution of the differential equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{g}(\bar{r})}-\nabla\frac{\lambda_{g}'(\bar{r})}{3}\nabla\right)H_{g}(\bar{r})=-\nabla\cdot\overline{U}_{g}(\bar{r})$$

to solve for the thermal flux

$$\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{t}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{g}} \frac{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{g}}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})}{\mathbf{\lambda}_{\mathbf{g}}(\overline{\mathbf{r}})}$$

The model does not transport electrons but rather diffuses the Spitzer flux.

### Simulations of NIF N130129 (exploding pusher) show better agreement when the non local model is employed



#### DRACO results have been processed with Spect3D\*

## CBET reduces the equatorial drive in N130129 non-local simulations which is not visible in experimental data



- Shell trajectories are consistent among all three
- A timing difference of ~ 100 ps exists between simulations

### Higher-intensity NIF glass exploding-pusher target shot demonstrates the need of the CBET model

• N130225 is a 130-kJ, 1523- $\mu$ m-diam target - Peak *I* = 1.6 × 10<sup>15</sup>



• The simulation without CBET over drives the target equator

DRACO provides self-consistent cross-beam energy transfer (CBET) simulations that agree with experiments

- CBET increases scattered light through stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) of outgoing rays that removes energy from incoming high-energy rays
- Nonlocal electron thermal transport model has been added to DRACO
- The 2-D hydrodynamics code DRACO employs feedback control to maintain energy balance with CBET
- CBET improves agreement of hydrocodes with experiment