On the Bell–Plesset Effects: the Effects of Uniform Compression and Geometrical Convergence on the Classical Rayleigh–Taylor Instability

Incompressible shell
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \rho = 0 \]

Compressing core
\[ \frac{d}{dt} (\rho R^3) = 0 \]
Summary

Bell–Plesset effects are formally very simple but varied in their behavior

- The classical Rayleigh–Taylor problem has been modified for arbitrary rates of compression and geometrical convergence in a way that clarifies Bell–Plesset effects on the density and radius scaling of perturbations and on stability criteria.

- Bell–Plesset effects are different for each of the pair of independent perturbation solutions.

- In the opposite limits of fast and slow Rayleigh–Taylor growth, the perturbation amplitudes scale in completely different ways.

- For fast Rayleigh–Taylor growth – the limit of interest to the deceleration phase of ICF – the scaling is simplified.

- In this limit, spatial perturbations of the surface of a uniformity compressing cylinder, or sphere, exhibit no explicit Bell–Plesset effects.
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Bell’s introduction to convergence and compression effects based on a mass amplitude was the preferable approach.

- Bell’s formulation (1951) uses mass amplitudes to obtain perturbation equations for free surfaces ($\rho_1 = 0$ or $\rho_2 = 0$).

- Plesset (1954) treats only the spherical interface with no compression with an arbitrary density jump, but he uses spatial displacement amplitudes.

- Goncharov et al. (2000) and their Rayleigh–Taylor post-processor based on the “sharp boundary model” includes Bell–Plesset effects.
Bell–Plesset effects were added to the language of the ICF community relatively recently


Perturbation equations are best written in terms of a mass amplitude

Incompressible planar approximation

\[ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} A_k = \gamma_0^2 A_k \]

\[ A_{k\pm} = A_{k0} e^{\gamma_{\pm} t} \]

\[ \gamma_{\pm} = \pm \gamma_0 \]

\[ \gamma_0^2 = k \left( \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1}{\rho_2 + \rho_1} \right) \dot{R} \]

Compressible spherical solution (i.e., Bell–Plesset*)

\[ \left( -\gamma_\rho - \gamma_R + \frac{d}{dt} \right) \frac{d}{dt} (A_\ell \rho R^2) = \gamma_0^2 (A_\ell \rho R^2) \]

\[ \gamma_R = \dot{R} / R, \gamma_\rho = \dot{\rho}_1 / \rho_1 = \dot{\rho}_2 / \rho_2 \]

\[ \gamma_0^2 = \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{R} \frac{(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \ddot{R}}{[\ell \rho_2 + (\ell + 1) \rho_1]} \]

\[ \gamma_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_\rho + \gamma_R) \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} (\gamma_\rho + \gamma_R)^2 - \gamma_0^2} \]

Perturbation equations are very similar among the three simple geometries

\[ \gamma_R = \dot{R}/R, \gamma_\rho = \dot{\rho}/\rho \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planar</th>
<th>Planar</th>
<th>Planar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \left( -\gamma_\rho + \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{dt} (A_k \rho) \right) = \gamma_0^2 (A_k \rho) )</td>
<td>( k \left( \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1}{\rho_2 + \rho_1} \right) \ddot{R} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\rho \pm \sqrt{\gamma_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_\rho^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrical</td>
<td>Cylindrical</td>
<td>Cylindrical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \left( -\gamma_\rho + \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{dt} (A_\ell \rho R) \right) = \gamma_0^2 (A_\ell \rho R) )</td>
<td>( \frac{\ell}{R} \left( \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1}{\rho_2 + \rho_1} \right) \ddot{R} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\rho \pm \sqrt{\gamma_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_\rho^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \left( -\gamma_\rho - \gamma_R + \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{dt} (A_\ell \rho R^2) \right) = \gamma_0^2 (A_\ell \rho R^2) )</td>
<td>( \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{R} \left( \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1}{\ell \rho_2 + (\ell + 1) \rho_1} \right) \ddot{R} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_\rho + \gamma_R) \pm \sqrt{\gamma_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\gamma_\rho + \gamma_R)^2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The solution of constant mass amplitude occurs only as one solution in the “accelerationless” limit.

\[
\frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0 \rightarrow A \propto R^{-2}
\]

\[
A = (2A_0 + \dot{A}_0/\gamma_R)(R_0/R) - (A_0 + \dot{A}_0/\gamma_R)(R_0/R)^2
\]

\[
R = R_0 e^{\gamma_R t}
\]

\[
\gamma_R \equiv \dot{R}/R
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt}(A \rho R^2) = 0 \quad \gamma_0 = 0
\]

Compressing core

\[
\frac{d}{dt}(\rho R^3) = 0 \rightarrow A \propto R
\]

\[
A = \frac{1}{2}(A_0 - \dot{A}_0/\gamma_R)(R_0/R) + \frac{1}{2}(A_0 + \dot{A}_0/\gamma_R)(R/R_0)
\]
Compression and convergence complicate the time dependence of perturbations of decelerating interfaces.

\[ \gamma_0^2 = 4 \ddot{R} / R \]

\[ \dot{A}_0 = 0 \]

\[ \frac{d}{dt}(A_0 R^2) = 0 \]

I = incompressible shell
C = compressible sphere
P = incompressible planar
Bell-Plesset effects appear as density-radius scaling factors in the limits of fast and slow Rayleigh–Taylor growth

I. The “accelerationless” limit of small $\gamma_0 \left( \gamma_0 \ll \gamma_p, \frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma} \ll \gamma_R \right)$, the “coasting” phase:

$$A_{\pm} = \left[ 1, \rho^{-1} \right], \quad A_{\pm} = \left[ R^{-1}, (\rho R)^{-1} \right], \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\pm} = \left[ R^{-1}, (\rho R^2)^{-1} \right]$$

- The second solutions are indistinguishable from unperturbed flow.

II. The large $\ell$ or WKB limit, $\left( \gamma_0 \gg \gamma_p, \frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma} \gg \gamma_R \right)$, the “deceleration” phase:

$$A_{\pm} = \left[ \rho^{-1/2}, \rho^{-1/2} R^{-1}, \rho^{-1/2} R^{-3/2} \right] \gamma_0^{-1/2} \exp \left( \pm \int_0^t \gamma_0 \, dt' \right)$$

- For uniform compression of a constant cylindrical or spherical mass,

$$A_{\pm} = \gamma_0^{-1/2} \exp \left( \pm \int_0^t \gamma_0 \, dt' \right), \text{ showing no explicit Bell–Plesset effects.}$$

- Bell–Plesset effects are not an instability and do not affect stability criteria.
Summary/Conclusions

Bell–Plesset effects are formally very simple but varied in their behavior

- The classical Rayleigh–Taylor problem has been modified for arbitrary rates of compression and geometrical convergence in a way that clarifies Bell–Plesset effects on the density and radius scaling of perturbations and on stability criteria.

- Bell–Plesset effects are different for each of the pair of independent perturbation solutions.

- In the opposite limits of fast and slow Rayleigh–Taylor growth, the perturbation amplitudes scale in completely different ways.

- For fast Rayleigh–Taylor growth – the limit of interest to the deceleration phase of ICF – the scaling is simplified.

- In this limit, spatial perturbations of the surface of a uniformity compressing cylinder, or sphere, exhibit no explicit Bell–Plesset effects.